

## Section 5

THIS IS OCTOBER MATERIAL ASKING FOR EVIDENCE OR DISMISSAL. MOTIONS TO COURT. NO DISMISSAL. POSTPONED.

PLEASE NOTICE MR. CLARK DECIDED THAT MAYBE HIS STATIONARY WAS THE PROBLEM

“LEADERS” (I.E. THOSE WHO WE ALLOW TO CONROL US TOTALLY) SEEM TO NOT CARE ABOUT ANYTHING NOT ON LETTERHEAD SO I THOUGHT PERHAPS THAT WAS THE PROBLEM SINCE APRIL 15.... NO LETTERHEAD SO I MADE A LETTERHEAD.

BEING FUNNY AND SARCASTIC IS THE ONLY REASON I AM STILL SANE AND ALIVE. ONLY BY WRITING ALL THIS OUT WAS I ABLE TO RESOLVE.



October 13, 2011

**SUPREME COURT** Law Center

*Clark, Clark, and Tortuga*

Attorneys at Law Pro Se

***Please see attached Affidavit of Prosecutor - City of Eugene***

***It may be I sent more discovery requests than needed. It appears all I needed to do was show you the page that is attached and advise you:***

- 1. It is an Affidavit. You are said to be trained in law, perhaps your understanding of this is different than mine. Hon. Judge Michael Hogan is asked for Opinion should he Chose to Provide.***
- 2. The event is said to be July 28, 2011 per Affidavit at 100 East Broadway while the 911 call is both clear and confirmed trespass was at the Bank 99 East Broadway across the avenues.***
- 3. The arrest is July 29 around 6:30 PM.***
- 4. The Affidavit appears to have been executed August 1, 2011.***
- 5. The actual Affidavit to affect arrest was not signed by a Judge. There is very clearly a place for a Judge to either authorize Arrest or not. Neither box is marked. The document contains no reference to authority without the signature of the judge. In absence of any threat whatsoever as validated by police July 18 and massive City/County notification of objection in a polite way from 'day 1' there appears to be no legal basis for the arrest.***

***Dan, it is my opinion you definitely need an Attorney on this. What you said to me on the telephone, the tones you used, your attitude..... it indicated what is proved above so I already figured on it. Before getting into any detail, I sent a lot of material back in September. You ignored and defamed it so I knew you were 'in on it'. As your Criminal Attorney will advise you, the combination of the above facts will require disbarment and resignation.***

***That is not sarcastic. That is not playing attorney games. That is fact on so simple evidence. If you think otherwise it will probably be adjudicated in Federal Court (case already filed as this is added evidence to racketeering evidence in open Federal Case.)***

***Regardless..... but no problem.... they just make you Consultant and double your pay. No worries and thank you for your help from Andy Clark***

4 TOTAL

October 13, 2011

To: Honorable Judge Michael Hogan via fax noted below

From: Defendant Andrew G. Clark as identified in CIVIL #11.6248.TC

### Defendant Notice of Compliance and Request for PACER Release

Defendant Andrew Clark, hereby requests all his Court Evidence Presentations be released to PACER.

Attached is the letter sent September 13, 2011 demanded by Client of Opposing Counsel.

It is my knowledge that names and addresses of people are defined as "Public Record". I proved to Court in written evidence beyond doubt this data is available systematically for all Wells Fargo clients. I see nothing secret on the list.

To further ensure I am Acting Fully for the Benefit of Society, I respectfully request that prior to placement on PACER, those offending items on those pages be redacted by Court prior to PACER Placement should Redacting Budget Allow.

It appears my evidence has significantly more merit than evidence submitted by Client of Opposing Counsel. You may recall that tiny mention of my jailing in District Court. As noted in Testimony, that was irrelevant in your Court at that time regarding Racketeering and Corruption of Justice. Prosecutor Barkovic, Eugene Municipal Court, 541.682.8414 has all the material you will be getting, just in case of "circumstances beyond my control". I provided some via FAX number below to Opposing Counsel as a sample.

I will present the rest of the evidence His Honor requires to prosecute the Charges Made Against Client of Opposing Counsel as stated or interpreted.

The documentation is much more clear and obvious to anyone. It will show you in actual police reports how Client of Opposing Counsel corrupted the Federal Bureau-Investigation process.

Imagine His Honor witnessed and photographed a bank robbery. That would be good evidence. The evidence you will get will be vastly superior. Defendant ventures to say His Honor may be Astounded Beyond Comprehension.

Signed, Andrew Clark as identified. faxed to 541.431.4159 from comcast home phone 541-343-2667. Copy to Opposing Counsel David Symes Ogletree Deakins Et Al  
FAX 503-224-4518





October 13, 2011

**SUPREME COURT** Law Center

*Clark, Clark, and Tortuga*

*Attorneys at Law Pro Se*

City of Eugene Prosecutor's Office

The City of Eugene has only one logical and institutionally sound option.

There is only one way to mitigate/eliminate City / County liability.


City must prosecute the Source of the Crime. Only recently has it been known to you, all in the last few days. Nobody in City/County is Guilty because the matters were only just revealed. However, the evidence is rather overwhelming in context.

Pretty darned simple. I realize how they give the City money in a variety of ways so that is hard to conceive but by now....you must see that to do otherwise is to become and accomplice KNOWING.

Until right around now.....we are all victims of the same perpetrator. Consider this please.

Signed,

Andrew Clark  
Blah Blah



October 13, 2011

**SUPREME COURT** Law Center

*Clark, Clark, and Tortuga*

*Attorneys at Law Pro Se*

City of Eugene Prosecutor's Office - Via Faxed, Confirmed Delivered telephone Docket 1113485 City/Clark

This is to confirm my understanding there is no hearing of any form involving me today.

While delivering Motions on the Case yesterday, I personally confirmed with the woman on the right as the three glass 'booths' are faced and Motions, payment, etc. are submitted. She appeared to be approximately 40 years of age (but I would tell her she looked 25 if that) and was of white Northern European descent. I did not ask or consider her name as that is none of my business. She is a city clerk following policy and orders, did nothing illegal to me, so I do not need her name and in fact would consider asking for it or even looking at her nameplate if such exists would be un-needed in the context of my visit. I recall her hair to be light brownish but it would be inappropriate of me to have noted any aspect of her that way as she is on Duty so I apologize for not being able to identify further. The duty logs will indicate her as will the time/date stamp on the Motions that were delivered, signed and notarized.

I spoke with the woman who answered the telephone 541.682.8401 and she identified the office as City Prosecutor. I did not ask her name for all of the above reasons. It is not my business and it is a matter of your records. I asked her to give you this message in advance of 9 am this morning, explaining "I understand errors can happen and I won't be there this morning so in case there was an error this is to officially notify and confirm of understanding I am not Required by Court this day, October 13, 2011."

I explained to her how this was necessary to positively document the matter in case an error was made, that I would write it out and send it via fax from 541.343.2667 to FAX 541.682.8430 and others as considered needed.

Thank you for your help.

Signed,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "A. Clark".

Andrew Glen Clark, as identified

URGENT

October 13, 2011

Daniel J. Barkovic, City Prosecutor  
915 Oak Street, Suite #310  
Eugene, OR 97401

HON JUDGE HUBAN

(THIS IS NOTHING -

WAIT - you

will  
SEE  
A

Formal Demand Notice

Due to Matters Presented, I demand as Citizen that you resign your office immediately.

I am not asking you to resign your job or income. I do not have that Right. It is not related to my Obligation as Citizen.

You have no Choice. You can see that:

1. Take all those faxes and just put them in a pile in front of you. Assume they mean nothing.

2. Obtain the Affidavit you signed to effect charges. That is your Affidavit that:

a. event occurred July 28 when all evidence I see says it occurred July 29.

b. event occurred at 100 East Broadway when the 911 Call-in clearly specifies and is confirmed specifically: alleged trespass is NOT at that location, it is at the BANK at 99 East Broadway.

c. You Executed the Affidavit after the fact. It is dated August 1.

d. The arrest affidavit is not signed by a Judge. Under circumstances that was a requirement. It is not always a requirement and I agreed massively and sincerely in writing in July that it appeared to be a mistake of some form as I could not believe the truth apparent.

e. I implored for help at all conceivable levels and that added to assumption of insanity. I openly question Right of Police action and that was mocked in writing in police reports and used as indication of lack of respect for Police. Sadly...saddest of all.. the CRUX OF THE ISSUE: THE MAN WITH THE GUN WAS SO SURE HE WAS RIGHT WHEN HIS WRONGNESS WAS BEYOND HIS IMAGINATION DUE TO HIS LIMITATIONS. HE WAS NOT HIRED TO THINK THAT HARD. YOU WERE. THE JUDGES WERE.

Any form of outrage no matter how slight since April 15, 2011 internal to Wells Fargo was used to 'pretext' the next action. The level of the control and concealment is total. That is Corporate Right (unfortunately). When extended to police with weapons and Citizens in their Home and connected, your Attorney can tell you clearly and plainly what it really is.

Such a mechanism has not existed before in history once you link it to the Corporation and the Federal Matters (which you were told and believed FBI said were not to be taken seriously.) A citizen is alive at Whim of Police. A corporation easily controls the police no matter what.

You should have known better. It does not matter whether the call was made or not. It does not matter what the Agent or anyone said about me. The fact of placing in a police report that

contact in more than one context was the Final Link. It was corruption of FBI Process by City of Eugene Police which in turn corrupted the Federal Matter in Process District Court.

Usually there are winners and losers. That is your very sick world. Those in Authority who have total control of the mind and the body of the citizen and processes that encourage it and allow concealment. This time we are all losers and unless all of us are winners of some form, no change...no Progress...may occur.


We will end the cycle of blame and punishment. We will end the abusive control. As sick as it has so far gotten, here is the worst part: July 19 Mayor's Office desk clerk and entire Office considered me a major threat and repeatedly warned me in vicious mean ways that all they have to do is.....PUSH A BUTTON. Same way Zyklon B was released in the gas chambers. Just push a button. And I remain convinced you do not see it is one and the same without any variation, in fact this is worse...it affect us all, except the Rich or Placed such as yourselves and the Corporations.

Please Resign Immediately. If you think my case means anything to me I already told you it does not. I could care less about myself after I saw the Truth and documented it perfectly.

Thank you very much.

Signed,

Andy Clark  
3270 Stoney Ridge Road  
Eugene, OR 97405

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'A. Clark', written over the typed name and address.

c.c. Hon. Judge Michael Hogan  
District Court, Eugene OR

**October 13, 2011**

**To: Honorable Judge Michael Hogan via fax noted below**  
**From: Defendant Andrew G. Clark as identified in CIVIL #11.6248.TC**

**CITIZEN DEMAND OF DISTRICT COURT - EUGENE OREGON**

**This is being Demanded to ensure we both properly Respect and Protect the Court. It is being sent to you as an equal Citizen.**

**This is not about me. As testified, in District Court the individual has absolutely no relevance. District Court represents society and humanity as a whole.**

**The Material being Delivered and Then Related stands by itself as a matter that exceeds the relevance of the Nuremberg Trials. It is not about Jews. It is about the Common Citizen.**

**When I tell the actual truth with no exaggeration as I just did and document it extensively beyond any form of doubt, the first action of others is to assume it crazy. Citizen Clark makes this Demand to ensure Citizen Hogan is aware of expectations that will not happen in our District Court. What is underlined above is literally True.**

**I Demand on Emergency Basis to Relate Evidence In Court Under Federal Supervision With FBI-Eugene Participation. You will agree when you see the material.**

**Thank you very much.**

**Signed,**



**Andrew G. Clark**  
**as identified**

**c.c. David Symes Ogletree et al**  
**others**

**October 13, 2011**

**To: Honorable Judge Michael Hogan      via fax noted below**


**From: Defendant Andrew G. Clark as identified in      CIVIL #11.6248.TC**

**Very Important Defendant Note to Court**

**If I am interpreting the Record correctly, there may have been some form of meeting between Court and Counsel of Client per record.**

**I mentioned some astounding material to present tomorrow. If such a meeting or electronic communication as referenced took place and if it is determined to have relevance in any way then I ask the tone and content of that contact be considered in context once Court has seen Defendant Presentation.**

**Thank you very much.**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'A. Clark', written in a cursive style.

**Signed, Andrew Clark as identified. faxed to 541.431.4159 from comcast home phone 541-343-2667. Copy to Opposing Counsel David Symes Ogletree Deakins Et Al  
FAX 503-224-4518**

Dave - Key - Bar → OPS  
08/17/11  
per [unclear] (Nina)



October 13, 2011

**SUPREME COURT** Law Center

*Clark, Clark, and Tortuga*

Attorneys at Law Pro Se

Fax to Dave

Great seeing you the other day on the Supreme Court Circuit. I could have sworn I saw you when I was testifying in front of full Congress, the President, etc. during my Ceremony for the Article III position. There were so many people, so many flashbulbs it probably just looked like you. Dave, if you get down here ring me and I will buy you lunch. McDonalds has the BEST McDouble. \$1 and free water. I ride my bike there. I feed the top bread to the birds, eat the rest. Please share that tip with any obese or fat people you see. Thank you. To business.

My first Magistrate Order is for you to:

1. Product the evidence regarding short-hair female previously opined to be healthy-looking and in silent control. My analysis of minimal evidence:

- a. your firm appears structured in a rather sad way. No worries, we will fix it.
- b. the obese and unhealthy incompetent Person who did most of the talking was the first in the row and was clearly Junior doing all the testimony. That is good. Anything goes wrong she is expendable, the others are "insulated".
- c. Opposing Counsel of Client Lively was in the middle. I proudly mentioned my Jailing as a nice thing. At the mention of the word "Jail" Counsel Pro Se would testify he heard her say one half of the word "objection". The Judge gave her a very concerned look but read my BRILLIANT Court presentation. Seriously. Brilliant by the standards of History. Literally...the Court Recorder on the right as faced as weeping. The other was close. The Court Recorder response was clue to me.
- d. I sensed from the corner of my eye movement from Subject Female indicating she had INCREDIBLE POWER. She was able to get a woman to shut up right in the middle of a word. Not just any woman. A Cal Tech grad and attorney.
- e. The above, combined with Structure (a. above) clearly indicated to me she had Control most likely but to be determined via Production, Discovery, Debriefing and Depositions will determine actual facts as these facts are only construed from evidence available at this time.

2. Comparing this to profiles in our Psychiatry Stereotyping Research (which is right more often than the Death Sentence) suggest:

- a. Lesbian, dominant partner
- b. Other half may feel controlled in some negative way that limits her options. Subject female appears, if Assertion on Evidence suggests that an actual Male who

**fully understands his role in making that perfect would be a compromise position to avoid litigation. It is possible the control is an exceptionally subtle form of assumed partner who may be afraid to discuss relationship inadequacies.**

**c. Attorney Pro Se is aware of the complexity. While concepts such as that are the staple of a very unhealthy industry that is a nearly impossible role for a male for so many reasons. However, research by our Investigators shows it is actually the natural state if the "animal world" is studied carefully and in context. There are also reproduction issues along with the fact men died faster and easier, just like today so the partner-of-the-dead best went to another male. Now that this is NOT for reproductive purposes, but rather for Evidence Debriefing and Deposing there are certain logical conclusions that only very educated and very intelligent might see Merit.**

**Advise the Subject (female) that her, and Partner will be asked to identify parts on dolls to ensure they have good observation skills and then Counsel Pro Se will determine Position according to Public Benefit as established by Committee of Attorney Pro Se and Evidence (female or 2)**

**As Magistrate, you are aware I can simply order a helicopter for Evidence Delivery. This has higher level of seriousness than prosecuting the laptop and making me give up "SECRETS".**

**But.... as a Mature Magistrate, before ordering the Helicopter, I believe our long Professional Relationship indicates a friendly demand letter. Please discuss with Subject Evidence (Female) and place her on Travel to Court for purposes above.**

**On a sad note, I regret to inform you that our accounting department denied our request for that \$5 fax ink settlement without a formal demand letter, all evidence required by Discovery. I hate Accountants. People think us Attorneys (etc.) are sicko's. Accountant are so stupid. Mine actually thinks  $4 + 4 = 8$  when we both know numbers add up to whatever we want.**

**Again, pleasure possibly seeing you at my Congressional Appointment. I appreciate your thoughtful Mentoring.**

**From your old buddy from those good old days in Law School together. Dude...what happens in Law School Stays in Law School.**



October 12, 2011

**SUPREME COURT Law Center**

*Clark, Clark, and Tortuga*

*Attorneys at Law Pro Se*

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE TO EXTERNAL LEGAL AFFILIATES**

Our Firm is proud to Announce that our Firm recently acquired Attorney Pro Se Andrew G. Clark as a Founding Partner. He has been assigned to our Supreme Court Law Center where he is being prepared for Supreme Court or Article III Magistrate Appointment. Thank you for being part of our Success.

We would like to share a very tiny portion of Attorney Pro Se Clark's very recent work involving a business entity on Federal matters that are being processed in tandem with Municipal matters. That created a challenging legal scenario involving all Venues in some fashion and includes matters of national importance with international implications.

We attached the police reports and just the smallest sample of Attorney Pro Se Clark's vigorous defense. City of Eugene Prosecutor is currently reviewing the hundreds of pages of material submitted that is related to the Municipal matter. Our review indicates his Federal documentation was just as complete with verifiable and included evidence.

We found the inclusion of the actual evidence to be refreshing and extremely rare which is just one of the reasons you can count on our Firm for all our Specialty Services. Should your Firm have need, please contact Senior Partner Tortuga or any of our non-Founding Partners Pro Se directly via any media.

**Authorized Communication from Shell Corporation of Senior Partner Tortuga**  
Transmitted via hardened and secure *Russian Tortuga Shellphone Systems International*

*attached materials - case 7734-HO 10.2011 client billing cycle*

*ACCEPTED ASIA  
BILL TO  
CLIENT*



October 12, 2011

**SUPREME COURT** Law Center

*Clark, Clark, and Tortuga*

*Attorneys at Law Pro Se*

David P.R. Symes, Managing Partner  
Ogletree Deakins et al  
The Koin Center  
Portland, Oregon

**FAX Cover Memorandum**

From the Office of Andrew G. Clark, Attorney Pro Se  
Supreme Court Law Center  
Clark, Clark, and Tortuga

Dear David:

First, let me apologize that our Legal Material Processing Center sent a previous fax that was not meant to be sent to you. It appears we included Police Reports and a variety of non-Confidential Material. Our entire Legal Staff found it amusing as it contained explicit allegations of possibly homosexual managers and police for arresting a Jew after they Screwed him Beyond Comprehension and he was told to "Stop Whining." all on corporate email and saved despite Managers fervent, desperate attempts to recall the message but...it was already printed. I continue only because your office may have specialty experience and interest that is insightful to Article III Attorney Pro Se Clark

Novel Case: our legal investigators determined that the Jew Employee actually allowed the practice without limits for 2 years to avoid being burned. Seems what set the whole thing off was they cut back on the Vaseline Allotment and it hurt so much even the Jew-to-be-Burned chose burning as an acceptable alternative to perpetual sexual slavery, manager-police predatory and roaming armed homosexual Nazi activity. Seems Ernst Rohm still runs the Schutzstaffel here in Eugene in benefit of Corporate Sponsors. Odd....history repeats itself so exactly. Lockstep.

I dare to say that first need was the apology especially for that accidental note regarding billing our marketing to Clients. Oops. Butterfingers, no pun intended. I wanted to note again and say thank you and that our review of your September 13 letter has no confidential data or secrets as my evidence in court showed. That gives more credence to the rest of the Evidence, don't you think?

Our office determined via Survey that the matter is so far out that it is unbelievable. That is what the client thought... he actually put in writing he thought police made a mistake until he actually saw the evidence. Fascinating case, too bad your Specialty is not as Glamorous but, that explains why I am



Filed Eugene Oregon  
COURT

City of Eugene  
Plaintiff

DOCKET 1113485

Motion to Dismiss: Illegal Prosecution  
Fruit of Poisonous Tree  
(Fourth Amendment Exclusionary Rule,  
**Second Amendment Violations** as Ensured in  
Oregon per Fourteenth Amendment)

Andrew Clark  
Defendant

Motion to Dismiss: Illegal Prosecution  
Fourth Amendment Exclusion (Fruit of Poisonous Tree)  
Violations **First Amendment Rights-Religious Freedom**

Motion to Sanction Opposing Counsel  
Knowingly participating in Ethnic Cleansing and  
pre-Genocidal Activity in violation of First Amendment,  
Nuremberg-Response Legislation and Litigation.

Motion to Sanction Opposing Counsel  
Knowingly continuing prosecution without evidence  
and Conspiring with Wells Fargo et al in violation of  
Federal legislation Dodd-Frank and Sarbannes-Oxley  
Participating in activities that in context could be  
construed based on facts know to Counsel

Motion to Sanction Opposing Counsel  
Non-production of requested Discovery and lack of  
resources assigned to produce said Discovery despite  
Motions to Produce already filed

Motion to Sanction Opposing Counsel  
Persistent attempt to induce me to enter into 'Diversion'  
while clearly linking it to corporate objectives. This was  
reported in writing to his Office in detail upon Incident.  
Conspiracy to Commit and Conceal to affect Federal  
actions/investigations

Motion to Sanction Opposing Counsel  
Lack of objectivity and dereliction of duty in not reviewing  
evidence provided and responding.

Motion to Report and Recommend State and/or Federal  
Bar Association Investigations based on evidence in  
possession of Opposing

Motion to Order Criminal Investigations based on  
Evidence against Names in Reports provided and  
evidenced to Opposing Counsel. Motion to prosecute  
Names shown and evidenced to Opposing Counsel  
Motion to Order investigations by external human rights

Filed

10-11-11

SSANE/NOTARIZED

8/8

LAST PAGE

NON-SIGNED/  
NOTARIZED

FAX

OF  
COURT  
SUBMISSION

advocates to determine legality of Jail Holding Environment as applied to still-innocent Citizens.

Motion to Order investigations into obviously-to-me treatment of a mentally retarded or mentally impaired Citizen in Jail Holding. Participation in Pre-Genocidal Actions in Violation of Nuremberg-Response legislation and Litigation. Holocaust started with industrial murder of retarded and mentally impaired at first using carbon monoxide gas and then later cyanide gas to improve efficiency to allow processing of much larger numbers.

Motion to Order investigations into the reported details of a Perverted Sexual Act and a Crime Against Humanity (Women's Rights Legislation arranged by Jail Staff Lane County. Reference material provided to Opposing Counsel where I carefully described in detail and demanded Discovery with no response. I was forced despite my repeated protest to witness a Woman mop up my urine on the concrete jail floor of a holding cell with no toilet and with no response to calls for help.

Motion for Court to Require immediate overtime pay of City of Eugene police by 50% immediately based upon clear evidence of the flagrant and very large abuse of the Public funds that is Fraud to be determined via adjudication in correct Venue(s).

Motion for Court to Require Wells Fargo to Call me up on the telephone or come by my home without guns or police so I may share a meal with them and Settle Grievances in a human and polite fashion rather than in the manner exhibited thus far.

**THIS IS THE MOST IMMEDIATE  
THIS IS THE MOST HARMFUL  
NOTHING IS WORSE OVERALL  
FOR SOCIETY THAN THIS  
OFFENSE. UNFORGIVABLE.**

Motion to Investigate and Prosecute  
Police practice of Medicine  
(psychiatry) without License  
in violation of Federal/State Laws

Motion to Investigate and Prosecute  
Police Trespass - Felony Trespass as weapons  
were involved in a coercive fashion under the false  
pretenses of a wellbeing visit and as guests in Home

Motion to Investigate and Prosecute  
Systematic and persistent Police perversion and sexual  
misconduct as evidenced to Opposing Counsel with no  
response by including "rape threat" allegations et al.

Motion to Investigate and Prosecute kidnapping charges  
against names as documented and evidence in material  
provided to Opposing Counsel: Alan Martin, Wells

Fargo, Martin Ogno, Wells Fargo, Securitas agents Christensen and 'Erin' as described to 7.29.11 and all others complicit (other than Police who cannot be charged with kidnapping before determinations of Racketeering who CAUSED or CONTINUED this action since April 15, 2011.

Motion to Compel Pre-Trial Deposing of Witnesses

Motion to Dismiss: Affidavit of Probable Cause not signed by Judge. Illegal Arrest/Detention

I attest I did not trespass any Wells Fargo facility. I requested a Jury trial at the preliminary hearing and this is to re-affirm that need. Dismissal Motions supersede other Motions. I attest the above motions are not frivolous or a statement of contempt of Court in any fashion. It is my personal Knowledge as evidenced in reasonable detail of a Citizen that all allegations and charges are legally correct on a Criminal and/or Civil basis. I stand by the Evidence. Defendant does acknowledge that while the Motions are very serious and real he will accept that Municipal Court Limitations could require Court to acknowledge them as symbolic at this time.

This is of sound mind and body (as sworn/evidenced July 27 FBI). These are serious Crimes and/or Civil violations I documented far more convincingly to Opposing Counsel and Prosecutor than were allegations against me. I repeatedly in writing and orally extensively communicated this to all levels of City and County Government since First Sign of Genocidal Actions and Hate Crimes July 18, 2011, violations of federal financial obligations under Dodd-Frank et al, various corporate crimes from fraud to racketeering. I ask the Court to ascertain the incredible volume of that material provided since July 18 to every possible and conceivable official or employee of all levels of local, county, and even State government. I have the copies and total lack of effective response of any form whatsoever other than to continue and increase actions against me.

Instead of providing help it was used against me as a further imagined and/or perceived sign of mental illness or "psychosis" to quote the illegal diagnosis of the Police. Let the record reflect that historically and with constant repetition that the FIRST...the very FIRST genocidal action is to defame as seen in Nazi Germany 1930's. The usage of the mental health system to defame and pretext the next illegal police action was perfected by the Soviet Union (NKVD, Beria, c. 1935-1955, Gulags). It is the assertion of Defendant Clark that the exact same process was used against me to the letter. Every single detail of the Soviet-NKVD evidentiary process was systematically employed with Zeal

and Conviction by Police/Company Personnel involved. I advised and documented this to Opposing Counsel/Prosecutor to no avail or response.

Most of the Motions are self-supporting as Presented to Court or are in conjunction with Police Reports of City of Eugene Oregon, material provided to Opposing Counsel/Prosecutor as referenced or otherwise as summarized herein to place context..

Motion to Dismiss: Exclusionary Rule- Fruit of Poisonous Tree : Violation of Second Amendment

I have asserted and asked for proof of Prosecutor's Office without Response regarding the legality of the July 18 Wellbeing call. Regardless of its determination, the end result is the denial of Second Amendments rights regarding 'gun' ownership that has been endlessly litigated in all possible Venues with the same result of citizens retaining rights to bear arms.

My personal views are counter to that as carefully explained to police and accurately transcribed in police records, one of the few accurate quotes as I have very extensively documented without Response from Prosecutor's Office..

To summarize: I do not object personally to properly trained individuals owning and using firearms. It is my gestalt that 'guns' tend to be owned by the people who are least likely to be proper candidates for the responsibility of a firearm. I received ROTC training including six weeks at Fort Knox, KY in a rigorous military training program (that was my summer break, 1978). That provided me the opportunity to see first hand what a single round of ammunition from a .223 round as fired from the M-16 standard issue military assault rifle at that time and my extremely poor shooting skills contributed to my personal knowledge that I am not a proper candidate for gun ownership as I have poor aim, no actual interest, and no use as I do not hunt. I also believe the Second Amendment does not necessarily extend past weaponry that existed or was envisioned at that time. That concept has recently been extended in a Federal case that limited the usage of electronic tracking as I understand it to be the truth. However, I must stand by the Law as it is, not as I wish it to be. That is the obligation of a Citizen of the United States of America.

Given that, I assert in Court that once Police were invited into my Home in a most-gracious way as documented by Police they were my Guests. Let the record reflect I asked them in because I did not want the matter to cause concern in the neighborhood (as it did anyway) so I asked them to come in. In addition, I felt it was a very human and neighborly gesture designed to display to Police there are

no problems, even though I clearly stated in more-polite terms that: 'I do not want them on my property or in my home but I had no real choice. Please leave as I consider this an illegal action. or need them so please get off my property'. Let record reflect I did not make those actual statements but it is my personal opinion to be adjudicated that the statements in the Police records and audio are more than clear in that regard and were what I believe clear but most polite and respectful assertions of rights that were not considered.

The police record was also very clear in several context that I felt I was part of a genocidal action. I did try to explain the concepts of systematic degrading and defaming, Crystal Night (Germany 1939), and Dodd-Frank Financial Legislation (2010 mostly unlitigated), and the Sarbannes-Oxley legislation requirements that were applicable but not as clearly as the more recent Dodd-Frank legislation. The police officers who responded did not appear to understand much of this but I do not assert that as fact but rather to stand in Court on evidence as necessary.

As the police record is very clear, I invited Police into my home. Therefore, in the context of checking my wellbeing asking me if I possessed weapons. Before coming into my home they had reason to see the possibility I might be of Jewish ethnicity and/or faith. My mother's maiden name is matter of record easily available to Police and it is 'Jewish' in its derivation/background. I persistently noted that fact in Company (Wells Fargo) actions including an OSHA retaliation investigation currently in process in the Seattle Office. The Police knew or should have concluded I am Jewish based on diligence due prior to armed police response at my Home July 18, 2011.

I filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (San Francisco office) a formal complaint via certified mail regarding the ethnic cleaning activity involving an internal group of Jesuits. I included names and documentations of affiliations. I will provide that to Court as needed and once investigated by that Commission unless Court rules otherwise. That is why I also requested of Opposing Counsel but did not receive Discovery related to any possible Jesuit affiliations of those involved in the hate crime aspects. To summarize the situation:

1. Large Company with extremely Catholic affiliations as documented EEOC terminates another Jew for reporting financial concerns. Court is referred to google financial news "financial news february 2011 howard atkins wells fargo. As carefully and without doubt that Executive is Jewish and was terminated for similar reporting to Chairman Stumpf and refused to sign the financials. After 30 years with Wells Fargo he was terminated under extremely unusual circumstances as well reported in financial news of reputable organizations as referenced and as presented EEOC.

2. In Eugene Oregon where it can be safely assumed there is little exposure to the complexities of the rapidly changing financial legislation in the Dodd-Frank environment a Jew reports the same things and way more with all the screen-level and email-level evidence that is incontrovertible once 'related'. Please note that any Wells Fargo Mortgage Consultant could 'relate' the material. There are about 5,000 current and at least that many recent-terminated Mortgage Originators of Wells Fargo who could not only relate it they would amplify it.

3. July 18 Police acting based on absolute lock-step conformity with the exact methods, processes, and possibly zealous personal missions. Usually it appears to be for personal beliefs or as a demonstration of their power (reference Klinko/Monroe 2001 or Klinko/Lewis c. 2009). Given Klinko's past along with his July 2011 recent marriage dissolution that was found in online version of Eugene Register Guard is of concern as some of the sexual content Klinko emplaced in Police Reports clearly suggests issues dealing in an adult fashion with topics that are sexual in nature but presented in MEDICAL terms. It is very similar to the behavior of an immature 8th-grader who cannot control him or herself when terms such as 'masturbation' are used in a proper context and instead emplaces police-generated and differently-morphed statements of very clear, very well evidenced gestalts of homosexual and violent rape/sexual assault. That aspect was carefully and in exceptional detail evidenced and related Discovery was requested but not presented. Defendant defers to professionals in that field as Defendant is not a doctor. However, as Attorney Pro Se he must also place it with Court as it directly applies to the verbiage in the police reports as documented to opposing counsel as noted above. It is application of Sixth Amendment Right to process but I do not claim that it is necessarily sanctioned under First Amendment rights. I assert that it is because it relates to the Citizen interaction with the Government. My reading of foundational material and Demonstrated by Defendant in (eloquent, concise...get transcript for inspiration) in a recent case with Judge Hogan Federal Court Eugene Oregon suggests strongly that the First Amendment Right of Free Speech **only** applies between the Citizen and the Government and is fully protected in all ways.

The Fact as Understood by the Defendant and as written in materials presented to a large number of people including Opposing Counsel: An American Citizen first has Obligations and Responsibilities of Citizenship. "Rights" are a very distant 'second place' or NOT AVAILABLE to those who did not FULLY perform their Duties as a Citizen to protect using the Processes Available the civil rights of us all. That is how America was founded. That is why my father was in that German Prison Camp as an official Prisoner of War during World War II under General Patton, Third Army, United States of

America, the Greatest Nation, the Greatest People, the Greatest Concept ever to exist but ONLY as long as Citizens protect it as I am doing.

Defendant advises the Court: that prose has been written by be and given in one form or another to all involved since April 15. That is not "made up" for Court. That is how I live my life. I live my life as does the Soldier, as did my father... we must all be willing to die to protect the United States of America. We must all fight that hard to avoid all of us dying. Again: these are chronically and almost-fanatically presented concepts by Defendant orally in Court and in Public along with the vast materials provided since April 15, 2011. As this is a Court Process Defendant asserts but will not be basing litigation on First Amendment rights as the Sixth Amendment rights to defense more clearly apply. Both are presented in tandem to amplify the message of the other.

I enter in to this record my witnessed discussion with a police officer (meeting cited in police reports July 19). Not reported by police in their narrative was my statement regarding why I bike whenever possible with no shirt. It caused the young, somewhat heavy-set male police officer to appear either confused or concerned and he asked "why do you do that". I was there on business. That was not an appropriate Response of Officer. As a Guest in my Home, that WOULD have been a very appropriate question but they did not ask nice questions like that of relevance to the real world. It evidences a Police-in-this-case juvenile way of dealing with uncomfortable matters, which just does not fit well with the level of coercive and formal authority and firepower they have. I responded it is because I get hot and sweat so it keeps me cool. As known medical fact, such is the purpose of skin. Skin also absorbs vitamin D into the body in a fashion far superior to milk but medical discussion of skin beyond this point in this set of motions by Defendant is beyond the scope of the case. It is placed into the Court record only to further assertions that some of the police may not have proper understanding of basic facts of the human body and the emotional links that exist in all humans. If they do, they cynically use them against people, for example the fashion in which I was FORCED to invite police to my home to avoid perceived at the time charges if I did not cooperate. in his physical description of me in report correctly reporting no shirt, which as noted is in total conflict with the description given by Securitas 'agent' Christensen heard clearly on the 911 call audio). I requested as Discovery certified transcripts not yet received from Opposing Counsel.

This entire apparent sexual control and/or deviant behavior born either from their authority or background clearly runs into the jail with the very well reported matter of being forced to watch a woman clean up my no-toilet-induced urine on the floor of a cold isolation cell where I was compelled to use my undershorts as headcover to retain body heat. Let the record reflect that 75% of body heat

leaves via the head and Defendant is balding on top so this tactic is medically effective and is endorsed in all survival literature and programming.

It extends to non-sexual religious control that prevents medical treatment or vaccination of member children. It is common. It was far more common 20 and 30 years ago. As example and as being looked at by World Courts, history shows it was the stated Right of the Priest since middle ages to engage in such activities but cultural norms changed recently and suddenly causing victims to be less able to absolve by perpetrating the problem to the next generation due to the emphasis on child reporting and well publicized and long sentences of perpetrators. whether to satisfy their often-voracious sexual requirements (Defendant references certain recent case involving Eugene Police Office found guilty of such and from what I understand is currently serving prison sentence.)

Let record reflect Defendant has been constantly, chronically, and in writing attempting to work this matter out since April 15, 2011 but due to my Correct Responses of a Citizen and strict compliance with Financial Legislation: Dodd-Frank and related regulations it allowed me to very clearly document to the finest level: Racketeering by Wells Fargo. Corruption of Government Processes. Violation of Dodd-Frank. Charged and Proved by Defendant-in-Waiting: Wells Fargo. That is why the FBI holds the material. That is what I was told by a young agent in May 2011 Eugene field office when making first delivery. He stated to me they don't look at it much unless I 'relate' it. Instead they hold it to see how things go. The system works perfectly when allowed. I ask the motions be granted accordingly.

Signed -Defendant Andrew Clark as Identified. Attorney Pro Se. Citizen, United States of America, State of Oregon, Lane County, City of Eugene. 3270 Stoney Ridge Road 97405.

**SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS 11TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2011**

---

**NOTARY PUBLIC FOR STATE OF OREGON**

**My commission expires:**\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Discovery Requests Arising from Review of 7.19.11 Narrative

**First Paragraph of first report dated July 18. Paragraph analysis and Discovery Demand. All paragraphs of all reports will be subjected to similar Discovery Demands of Attorney Pro Se.**

As explained to you in writing, I am passing Evidence Review to your Offices as I obtain your Discovery materials and as I have the time given all the other aspects that require significantly greater amounts of evidence than this situation.

Very fortunately for me, all the material I sent to you will be used in some way. That is what they do in Hollywood- cut and paste. It appears what Eugene police do to manufacture their evidence. I should note this: have Wells Fargo do it for you at Wells Fargo India Solutions and their various 'security' companies and agents. It is also what all the attorneys appear to do.... they never consider the OBVIOUS POSSIBILITY... THAT THIS WAS PART OF A FEDERAL "STING" OPERATION ARISING FROM REPEATED MALFEASANCE OVER A 10 YEAR PERIOD IN EUGENE OREGON AND EVERYONE WAS GIVEN MANY CHANCES TO NOT BE INVOLVED AND STOP THE PROCESS LONG AGO. PAWNSHOP OPERATION CLASSIC.

Getting back to the Discovery requests arising from subject material. I will be performing first an analysis of each paragraph. Due to time constraint of Attorney Pro Se I will perform analysis from the beginning reports and there will be a document such as this for each paragraph.

Paragraph 1 - italics are as written, below is the analysis and Discovery Demands

On July 18th at approximately 1600 hours I was directed by Sergeant Klinko to do a welfare check on Andrew Glen Clark (DOB 08-08-58), and to advise him he was Trespassed from all Wells Fargo properties, especially the downtown Eugene branch. Sergeant Klinko advised that Clark lived at 3270 Stoney Ridge Road, but was unsure if he still lived there. Sergeant Klinko said that Well Fargo had fired Clark last month. He advised me that Clark had sent multiple emails to Wells Fargo, one that included a reference to genocide. He also advised that Wells Fargo told him Clark had pounded on the window of the downtown branch.

Sentence 1 Analysis and Discovery Requests

On July 18th at approximately 1600 hours I was directed by Sergeant Klinko to do a welfare check on Andrew Glen Clark (DOB 08-08-58), and to advise him he was Trespassed from all Wells Fargo properties, especially the downtown Eugene branch.

1. Exactly what time was the direction. Please provide electronic tracing (cellphone, officephone, email so the exact time is known.

2. I have already asked repeatedly with no response many questions so that I, as Attorney Pro Se, am able to defend myself. You may not see it your job to answer me but that, in my opinion, is because you possibly lack respect for Attorney Pro Se and thus do not believe your Office has the same responsibility as the Citizen to be sure the evidence is correct. Pardon my continued outrage but my Attorney Pro Se Client (me) bugs me all the darned time. I must say, I look forward to solving this case and obtaining less persistent Clients....ones who whine less. Anyway. Getting away from that opinion and back to Discovery material I have been asking for regarding policy and execution of Wellbeing calls. It is my opinion that social service agencies and very well defined processes exist for government/police concern regarding wellbeing scenarios. Here are some discovery needs that arise in addition to the rather vast amount already requested on this single point:

2a: priority of usage of police resource. I frequently see homeless and distressed Citizens on the streets and in parks and I know the City Police do all they can to "help" them. However, at any time it appears there are a large number and it is in the local news a lot. There was even special 'ordinances' it appears. So, in light of this situation, it is of question and concern why Andrew Clark (dob 89-8-58) more of a welfare concern than all the downtrodden we all see begging for food and money and booze every day?

2b. priority of usage of police resource. Please provide complete case files of all unsolved Felony crimes in City of Eugene for the past 5 years. I would imagine some exist so I need (as Attorney Pro Se) to know why this matter, with no actual evidence (etc etc) is being afforded a priority over possible unsolved felony crimes.

2c. I will be exploring and adding the charges of Practicing Medicine without a License. The Police response to a Wellbeing situation that is alleged in Police reports to be psychotic, bizarre, etc. (we will be getting to that...only one paragraph per document). I was asked psychiatric questions. The police reporting of bizarre behavior (etc as will be analyzed when there), the self-generation of the wellbeing call, and then the dispensing of psychiatric questions is:

- a. defaming
- b. pretexting of the next defaming manufactured material as Discovery has already been presented by Office of Attorney Pro Se in this matter.
- c. misuse of Police powerful
- d. violation of due process and usurping of social service agencies
- e. Practicing medicine without a license in Violation of State and Federal Laws.
- f. Likely to elicit a violent response in less educated, younger person which case analysis shows is then used as the pretext for the next set of charges and illegal actions. There is a lot of disagreement but it appears the 'Human' is a "raw material" for your industry which is now corporate-dominated due to jail management, etc. Companies own the justice system in some ways other than being documented City of Eugene.

3. Please advise my Office what authority and permissions existed for Klinko to issue the wellbeing call directive. I need to also know as previously requested the entire electronic tracing of this directive.

4. Please advise my Office what permission or authority exists for Police to perform a Wellbeing call, ask a variety of psychiatric questions, and then issue a Trespass warning. It appears clear to my Office that a wellbeing call and a trespass warning are not the same and that was not what was directed per the previous sentence.

5. Please advise my office exactly which building could not be trespassed. The first sentence contains a contradiction in the manufactured police evidence. It is not possible to be "trespassed from all Wells Fargo properties" and "especially the downtown branch". That implies the other locations may be OK to trespass which is understandable given Martin Ogno letter dated July 20 providing permission to be there, provided several times already.

6. Please define "branch". I assume you mean the Bank Branch at 99 East Broadway. I must again remind your Office that all trespass warnings appear to be at 100 East Broadway which is diagonally across the avenues. I have already requested significant clarification on this and requested significant discovery and received no response. I will not repeat those Discovery Demands at this time I will re-send all material in case you did not get that one. I need to better understand exactly the locations involved in:

- a. the alleged event
- b. the alleged location that is said-by-police to have legally created trespass notification

7. Please advise why Police defamed me and libeled me by lying by omission when they state in police records: "Sergeant Klinko advised that Clark lived at 3270 Stoney Ridge Road but was unsure if he still lived there". This gives rise to additional Discovery Demands:

- a. what caused Klinko doubt I lived there significant enough to report?
- b. at what time and date did Klinko access public records to determine I am a long time resident and own my home outright? Why did police chose to place the comment they did instead of simply reporting the public record? That will be a budget matter, why have a public record if your Office does not give it consideration when brutally arresting and jailing as part of your genocidal actions as already detailed and preliminarily charged.
- c. at what time and date did Klinko access telephone directory records to see I am listed?
- d. at what time and date did Klinko review any material whatsoever that suggested to him I did not live at 3270 Stoney Ridge Road? He has total access to all records at his fingertips. Why did they chose to use a "defaming in context" comment instead of simply checking records that the taxpayer is paying for?

8. "Sergeant Klinko said that Wells Fargo had fired Clark last month". Here are Discovery Demands in addition to the much larger number already requested but being hidden by your office and unanswered, which is again why I feel it my essential Attorney

Pro Se duty to give them to you again via mail, certified mail, special deliver, and I will refax. I will do this when my Attorney Pro Se office is available for it.

a. what relevance is that statement to the matter? Why is the statement in the report. The large corporations frequently fire (and then jail, etc as needed) workers. Only the government workers such as Police appear to be protected no matter what horrific past actions (e.g. Monroe 2001 and Lewis 2010 settled just for example) all involving...KLINKO who appears as noted to have gone through recently (yet another possibly divorce).

b. why do police whose education and experience may or may not be equal to mine believe they have right to even ask a person anything about employment? Attorney Pro Se demands to see the ORS that supports that. It appears in contrary that there are state agencies that handle it, however they do not have the level of staff and resource assigned to functions such as Police overtime, new vehicles and weapons on a constant basis, etc. Once again, this is both a misuse of public funds issue as well malpractice in fields they know nothing about whatsoever. It is possible I am incorrect so if Klinko et al have credentials and experience regarding employment matters that are superior in authority than the Department of Employment, please advise my office within 1 hour of receipt of this Demand.

c. Attorney Pro Se demands to see how this information was gained. I am performing research but it appears clear that Ogno had no authority to discuss my employment at Wells Fargo under both Law and Company Policy (as with the bank account data he discussed illegally). I will add to charges of all who touched it, including you, of aiding and abetting along with conspiracy to violate Federal Wage and Labor laws but that will be an entirely separate process at a lower priority level of Attorney Pro Se business bandwidth constraints.

8. "He advised me that Clark had sent multiple emails to Wells Fargo, one that included a reference to genocide." All the same questions asked in context of Question 7 are asked of this in addition:

a. that is not a crime of any form and if it is it is a Federal matter. I need to understand if Police think emailing people is a crime. I also noted I had written permission to contact Tim O'Brien in Minneapolis Wells Fargo and had in fact been told to do so. He was the one who got that very friendly and humorous email that is part of the FBI filing. This statement appears to have no relevance so as with 7, why is it placed in document? That is, again, a waste of resources and illegal painting of a threat.

b. the inclusion of the word "genocide" was covered in a more extensive Discovery Demand so will not be repeated here at this time unless requested or required.

9. "He also advised that Wells Fargo told him Clark had pounded on the window of the downtown branch". All the same questions and concern as above two Demands plus:

a. define "pounding". Pounding would normally cause a window to break. Please provide evidence of damaged or broken window.

October 10, 2011

Federal Bureau - Investigation  
Eugene, Oregon Field Office  
Portland, Oregon Field Office  
(email / fax delivery to be mailed)

CORRECTED  
FINAL  
VERSION  
AILED  
=

Subject: Request for Information  
City of Eugene Docket 1113485 Case 1112399

EXHIBITS PROVIDED  
PREVIOUSLY

Andrew Glen Clark 3270 Stoney Ridge Road Eugene OR 97405

The attached Police Report, City of Eugene references a conversation between Martin Ogno, a security guard at Wells Fargo in Portland Oregon and an un-named Federal Bureau - Investigation member. I underlined the comment on page 2 of 2 as hand-numbered. I included the first page for context but it can be 'skipped over'.

Please provide to me for my Defense in Court in City of Eugene Oregon under Charges of Municipal Trespass 2 the following material related to the Federal Bureau Agent Name/Location who spoke as referenced in attached Police Report - City of Eugene. Please provide an audio record of the conversation along with any paper records or records of any form of conversation referenced.

Should I receive no response to this letter within a 15 calendar day period I will present it to Court as assertion that no such conversation took place. It is my understanding that this faxed request constitutes valid delivery of this information request.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Signed,



Andrew Clark (as fully identified in July 27 2011 sworn information filing)  
Attorney Pro Se  
3270 Stoney Ridge Road  
Eugene, OR 97405  
541.510.3915

FILED 10-11-11  
WJTN-

MUNICIPAL COURT- CITY OF EUGENE, LANE COUNTY OREGON

City of Eugene  
Plaintiff

Docket 1113485

Motion to Disqualify Testimony  
of Brad Potter, Wells Fargo

Andrew Clark  
Defendant

This Motion is submitted for Causes:

1. His testimony in police reports is not attested to or signed. I firmly believe he was misquoted but am not presenting that as Fact to Court.
2. About three weeks ago while riding my bicycle to Tuesday Market I was fortunate to 'run into' Brad Potter on the street a couple of blocks away from Wells Fargo offices. We had a long and friendly conversation. We both agreed in advance (per my insistence) that we cannot talk about Wells Fargo business. The conversation was entirely about the well-known-to-staff events July 18-present that are Public Record.  
  
Mr. Potter made no mention of being a witness or having complaints against me. This is very consistent with our very long and good professional relationship spanning over a decade. Should I be incorrect in my assertion (second sentence item 1) and Mr. Potter intends to testify then by engaging in the conversation it corrupted him as a witness against me as he did not identify to me he was a witness against me and I spoke freely with him. I swear and attest I did not know at that time he was referenced as a witness against me or I would have avoided contact per my understanding of law. The contact was not intentional.
3. Police records state Mr. Brad Potter had arrest order powers for Wells Fargo. I assert he did not as his position is the same as the one I held and S.A.F.E. registration/licensing rules do not appear to include arrest powers of possibly-competing commissioned Mortgage Originators.
4. All other Exclusionary Rule applications already Motioned by Defendant.

Signed,

SIGNED

Andrew Glen Clark  
3270 Stoney Ridge Road  
Eugene, OR 97405  
as identified

**SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS 11TH DAY OCTOBER 2011**

NOTARIZED

NOTARY PUBLIC FOR STATE OF OREGON

My commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_

For example, the police cite "unnamed employees " who claim I threatened to sexually assault area managers. That is both shocking and defaming. It was completely manufactured. The only area manager is Alan Martin, a 40+ year old married man with three children who would, I am sure, attest I never threatened to sexually assault him. Plus, it does not relate to the alleged trespass so the only purpose of placing it in the record appears to be in attempts to defame and "paint" a threat into existence.

The police reports are nothing more than a systematic collection of that type of "evidence". At every turn, they write information that is either:

- a) False and manufactured and has no basis in fact such as the sexual assault threat or the continued reference to possible psychiatric problems in absence of facts (July 18 and/or 28th police reports).
- b) Misleading in context. For example, there is written police material questioning if I still live at address on file. The public record will show I own my home without mortgage and am listed in telephone directory. The police had the duty to reference the public record of me, not their opinions or conjectures of my whereabouts. It is a minor "lie by exclusion" that when added to the other falsehoods creates a highly defaming and libelous record.
- c) is completely devoid of any relationship to the alleged trespass therefore has the sole purpose to defame and cause a Court to arrive at an emotional decision in absence of actual evidence. This tactic is sometimes referred to as "guilt through proxy" where a Court uses the charges at hand as a surrogate for the lack of ability to prosecute other matters listed in police reports. Motion to exclude Prosecutor use of all here-say evidence and all evidence not related to alleged tresevents.

Signed and Attested

Andrew Glen Clark  
3270 Stoney Ridge Road  
Eugene, OR 97405  
541.510.3915

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO  
before me this 3rd day of October 2011

---

Notary Public for Oregon  
My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_



October 11, 2011

**SUPREME COURT** Law Center

*Clark, Clark, and Tortuga*

*Attorneys at Law Pro Se - Corporeal*

Case 11.12399  
City Prosecutor's Office  
975 Oak Street  
Eugene, OR 97405

*Via Electronic Document Delivery Registration 7734-HO 541/682/8430*

**SUPREME COURT** Law Center

Supreme Court Law Center **ORDER NUMBER ONE**

Our intelligence systems "indicate" my Name may be on a list of "suspected sexual offenders" and Klinko et al are planning a Revenge Party by sending officers out on a MADE UP RAPE in the area! They plan to 'ask questions' while hauling me off, this time differently. Signal Detection was arranged by the NSA.

Counselor Barkovic. **That is why it is so important you get my name off the sexual assault suspect list that my Name has been entered into for future Police Action, this time involving more made-up actions. They plan to use that as the reason to visit and they plan to conveniently hear, see, or smell something they will claim allowed them plant and manufacture evidence to add to the defaming record.** Judge will be looking at that so best get Police on that right away. Surveillance has been nearly total, it has been upgraded.

Supreme Court Law Center **ORDER NUMBER TWO**

The Affidavit of Probable Cause (7.29) received from your office is not signed by a Judge. It appears that was a requirement under circumstances as I Motioned to Court and await Clarification. As I paid for it and collected/signed for it at your offices, I will not accept another version that is signed under Circumstances of Evidence Tampering, etc. Please advise of City of Eugene Prosecuting Attorney who is able to interpret this matter in advance of the Motions. I would like to get those Depositions going.

**Supreme Court** Law Center **ORDER NUMBER THREE**

The Police diagnosis of mental illness or psychosis and carefully reported by THEM in THEIR OWN REPORTS is 100% certain proof they Practiced Medicine Without a License. They diagnosed mental illness and then performed actions in response to their diagnosis. That is practicing psychiatry without a license as their actions involved other than talking to me as a licensed counselor, which would be practicing psychology without a license. That will, tomorrow, be carefully reported AMA, JAMA, and about a trillion other venues. ("trillion" is metaphoric for maybe a couple-three".) That is a DEADLY and PRETEXTING PRACTICE. I BELIEVE MOST CRIMINAL CHARGES IN EUGENE ARE CREATED BY THE POLICE WITH THESE SEEMINGLY LEGITIMATE PRETEXTING ACTIVITIES THAT WERE A STAPLE OF THE CIA until we got into the "total context/pretext CONTROL". I got in on the early applications during the 1980's while in DC.

Our strategic operatives at Langley learned in the 1970's that counter-pretexting was simple. Law enforcement had great success with the pawnshop operation but it no longer works because it is too easy to counter-pretext. So...our operation group went instead with total context/pretext control. Because the CIA is (in theory) not to operate on the Homeland it does not apply because in the Homeland the laws/courts are the context/pretext.

In this operation we chose an entirely different approach. We entrenched the Operative(s) in the lowest-profile places that were small enough to ENSURE total context control so that our Pretext and Counter-Pretext activities were met with success.

Why CIA? Please notice that the FBI reports carefully reference major Operations in India. Google Wells Fargo India Solutions. As we were reporting the Risk, we were also pursuing corrective action. GOOGLE "WELLS FARGO DE-RISKS INDIA JULY 7 2011 REUTERS NEWS." Look at the reports I submitted, look at the dates of them. You have them. FBI has more. paper anyway. Operatives? We are like garbage to the handlers. We understand it and they know we like the action but you would be amazed how poorly the job pays.

**Supreme Court** Law Center  
Attorney Pro Se Witnessed Signature



Andrew G. Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
3270 Stoney Ridge Road, Suite 100  
Eugene, OR 97405

October 10, 2011

City of Eugene - Prosecutor's Office  
Via Fax 541.682.8430

Subject: Case 1112399 Docket 1113485

Advisement of Felony Trespass Charges - Police involved in July 18 activity

In addition to other Charges as provided, it is my legal conclusion that the usage of my friendly and very neighborly invitation into my Home under the very false pretenses it appears to definitely constitute Felony Trespass by the police. 18-30. As I was illegally detained, it will be another aspect of the Kidnapping charges of events July Any Supervisor who directed the action is therefore implicated as well.

Demand for Production of Specific Audio Records and transcript

My office is still expecting the transcripts of all audio records. Here are the key events I cannot at this point locate:

A. Audio record July 18 of the time between Police first noticed my Client (me) on the street and the time the audio record provided started.

B. Audio record of the actual July 29 arrest-at-my-Home event. That obviously is incredibly essential and I noticed while being chained and shoved into the frame of my door in my Home as a vicious dog was barking at me outside Police had the microphone but I cannot seem to locate that material. I am NOT saying it was not provided. I am saying I cannot locate it on material provided and still need the written transcript.

Demand to Know if Police written material supersedes audio record

This will also be a budget topic for later. It appears all police interaction is recorded on audio. If that is the case, WHY are we wasting valuable taxpayer resources that could be spent on jail-holding blankets for the innocent citizens being tortured and detained illegally there such as myself July 29/30. I assume audio records take precedent over written material. I also assert that if I was recorded then the conversations with all the other involved parties such as Ogno/FBI or Ogno/Klinko as just two of many possible examples.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.  
Signed,

Andrew Clark, Attorney Pro Se as identified



October 10, 2011

City of Eugene - Prosecutor's Office  
Via Fax 541.682.8430

Subject: Case 1112399 Docket 1113485

Demand for Photographs of All Police Officers named. Need "Schulke" Today within 1 hour of receipt of this Attorney Pro Se Demand

Second Demand for Production of Evidence

Unless Attorney Pro Se hears otherwise by October 11, 2011 his Office will Declare that the following evidence is all that exists in this matter:

- a) material paid for and collected from Prosecutors office in person and signed for.
- b) later letter in mail with manufactured 9-16 report saying all Discovery had been provided. (please advise the Discovery Clerk she will now be included in the charges for presenting a fabrication. She lied. Almost nothing I requested has been received.


My research indicates that I may not have essential Evidence that Prosecution intends to use at Trial. I have repeatedly and persistently in writing, telephone, and Motion to Court for Prosecution to compare evidence they intend to present to Court with the material provided to me. That is a right of my Client (me) as Demanded by Attorney Pro Se.

That is why I need the photographs so I may compare them to the movies I made of Downtown Eugene Oregon situations to determine correlations between any mentioned and the following described individuals who approached me unexpectedly in that area:

- a. very young red-hair girl/woman who attempted to solicit me for sex. I first asked if she was over 18 and she said she just turned 18. I explained to her that while I found her attractive and a normal loving relationship was theoretically possible, I do not engage in any form of illegal or immoral sexual activity (I do not view any form of pornography for example).
- b. very small man who was riding a very small bicycle of the type used for BMX. At this point I will withhold my records of that interaction pending the results of our evidence comparison.

Thank you in advance for your Corporately Co-opted Consideration.

Signed, Andrew Clark, Attorney Pro Se as Identified.



October 10, 2011

City of Eugene - Prosecutor's Office  
Via Fax 541.682.8430

Subject: Case 1112399 Docket 1113485

**ATTORNEY PRO SE DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY JULY 29 2011**

As my Offices have advised you, my Client (me) has very complete and very inclusive equipment that recorded all events either totally or mostly. The purpose of this request is to allow your answer will be the same as my version.

There was a certain older and very common-looking vehicle parked in front of my home on the street where in two years no car other than resident or guests have parked before. It was there for several hours before the illegal genocidal SWAT arrest and then was gone. Shortly thereafter the SWAT with weapons and dogs had the area surrounded.

The vehicle had a very crude stencil indicating it belonged to McNutt company. That is a property management company but the stencil did not match their materials. Also, McNutt appears to have historic significance such that a possibly-police-used conference room has that name at City.

I realize fully and state again it could be the property management company but in this neighborhood that is very unlikely. The homes on all sides of me have been owned/occupied by the same people for very long periods and I have observed no signs otherwise.

Accordingly, it is Incumbant Upon Attorney Pro Se in this Matter to inquire if that vehicle was a surveillance vehicle of any form or is owned or operated by City of Eugene or its affiliates such as State, Lane County, or Wells Fargo as such.


Should you not deny this within 2 days it will be presented in Court as apparent truth. Once that matter is confirmed or denied, it will lead to a wide variety of other materials and charges.

Thank you again for your help.

Signed,



Andrew Clark  
Offices of Attorney Pro Se as identified



**NOTICE OF EARLY CLOSING IN CELEBRATION OF COLUMBUS DAY 10-10-11**

**ATTORNEY PRO SE OFFICES OF ANDREW GLEN CLARK WILL CLOSE AT 1:00 PM PACIFIC TIME IN HONOR OF COLUMBUS DAY. ALL WHO ARE SUBJECTS IN OUR OFFICES WILL BE AVAILABLE AGAIN WHEN WE RE-OPEN.**

**Attorney Pro Se Disclaimers:**

1. Our Offices do not endorse any Holiday we are observing them as required by law to avoid possible overtime compensation of our employees. That allows us to be more profitable.
2. The Cross shown above is NOT the Maltese Cross that was used by the Nazis of the Third Reich as special reward within units such as the Schultzstaffel or the Gestapo, etc. It is a random image drawn from Google so our offices assume no liability of any form regarding selection.
3. Our offices are bias free and we have no feelings on human emotions regarding any topic whatsoever, inclusive but not limited to the universe both known and unknown.

*MOSTLY MORTGAGE ORIGINATORS*

**THANK YOU IN ADVANCE YOUR PATIENCE. WE UNDERSTAND YOU HAVE CHOICES WHEN IT COMES TO YOUR LEGAL WORK AND IF YOU CHOSE A DIFFERENT ATTORNEY PRO SE INSTEAD DUE TO OUR DELAYED NOTIFICATION WE UNDERSTAND. WE HAD TO NOTIFY OUR REGULAR CLIENTS AND MEET THEIR NEEDS FIRST.**

**Signed,**

**Your Friends at the Attorney Pro Se Offices of Andrew G. Clark, Corporeal, as identified**

October 10, 2011

3 PAGE

City of Eugene - Prosecutor's Office  
Via Fax 541.682.8430

Subject: Case 1112399 Docket 1113485

Second Paragraph First Document

Attorney Pro Se Demand for Production of Police Affidavit regarding the word 'I' and 'shouted' (circled on attached July 19 report)

I

→ SENT BEFORE

It is my recollection and the record appears to confirm there were in fact two police officers who were on the SIDE of my home leering through the window. They were NOT immediately to the left of the door as they claim and there is a very large window that would have allowed them to determine occupancy. In that they were on the side of my home, that is added material the the Felony Trespass charges against them but that is later. For now, I need to know for Discovery on above case why the word 'we' was not used and if so, it is my opinion and assertion in Court that that evidence is also tainted as it is contradictory how many officers were involved. This is not only a misuse-of-funds issue it is very confusing so it must also be placed in advance in Affidavit after the Depositions in Advance of Trials etc. I have repeated been requested and denied.

Shouted

I have determined by interviewing three random persons the word 'shouted' COULD have defaming and negative implications in light of the illegally-alleged mental health issues (practicing medicine without license, state and federal charges, see past Discovery Demands).

Attorney Pro see Demands as Discovery:

**1. What is the official definition of the word 'shouted' that is being used by the police officer in his or their report, depending on the definition of the word "I" in context.,**

**2. Do police feel it is wrong to shout if Police are in their yard on the side of their Home leering through the window as noted in reports? As stated, I believe in Court that is valid and allowed testimony of trespass under circumstances. Had the crime been of nature serious enough to warrant it I would agree Police had the right/obligation but NOT in this situation.**

**3. Why did they chose that word instead of a more neutral word such as "asked" or "inquired"? It is my feeling based on linguistic opinion of a small random sample of SUBJECTS that those words are less likely to be construed as defaming in context of illegal discussion of mental health topic. Company HR did that too...the mental health number... but they as wrong as it may be have a right while I am employed so I accepted it, made contact, explained the situation, told them I was not interested, and... FILED AN INTERNAL ETHICS COMPLAINT !**

**4. Approximately at what decibel level does "SHOUTING" begin and "ASKING WITH CONCERN DUE A HUMAN BEING" begin...what is the cutoff point. Please use examples such as "about as loud as a car horn" or if desired, actual decibel reading per ORS**

**5. Was the shouting on audio record? I already asked for, may have, but cannot find that portion of the recording.**

**6. The report does not note the following physical facts involving noise transmission. I will be obtaining audio experts to analyze an in-court model made from Court-permissible materials to go over that. In addition, a company such as the Agency that analyzed the various tapes of the Kennedy situation Dallas Texas November 22, 1963 will be needed to perform analysis of the audio record. The street is significantly below house level. It is a generally-known "fact" that sound "travels" upward. Let the record reflect the slope of the earth**

going up to the "Grassy Knoll" (i.e. my tiny lawn) absorbs the sound and causes parts of it to be reflected upward. Such physical facts involving sound are extremely important when words like "shout" are used in context of a Citizen (or subject or human) being later accused repeatedly in absence of evidence of rape intentions and psychiatric problems.

7. Please explain why police feel that word or even that comment is relevant unless it was part of the audio record as well.

Thank you again.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be a stylized 'A' or similar character.

Andrew Clark  
15 IDENTIFIED

October 10, 2011

City of Eugene - Prosecutor's Office  
Via Fax 541.682.8430

Subject: Case 1112399 Docket 1113485

DAN:  
PLEASE CALL TEXAS!  
AC

Advisement of Violations of Second Amendment Rights (keeping/bearing Arms)

This is notice that I will be instituting Federal Charges against City of Eugene et al additionally for this. **PLEASE NOTE: I DO NOT OWN A FIREARM AND NEVER HAVE. I FREQUENTLY SPEAK OUT AGAINST THE OWNERSHIP OF FIREARMS BY UNTRAINED PEOPLE WHO HAVE NO USE FOR THE FIREARM SUCH AS MYSELF. I HAVE OFTEN WRITTEN AND SPOKEN MY OPINION THAT AMMUNITION SHOULD REQUIRE STRICT PERMITS AND THAT DOES NOT VIOLATE SECOND AMENDMENT. SECOND AMENDMENT, IN MY OPINION, ONLY PROTECTS WEAPONS THAT EXISTED WHEN WRITTEN AND DID NOT APPLY TO AMMUNITION AS MODERN "AMMO" DID NOT EXIST FOR ANOTHER 100 YEARS ALMOST.**

I was asked repeatedly by police if I had any firearms or dangerous dogs, amongst other defaming and irrelevant, insulting questions. Under circumstances, Police had no right to ask about the firearms. **AS CLEARLY NOTED:** I invited them into my home. As guests in my Home, they did not have the right under Second Amendment to use their authority (backed up by their firearms) to require me to produce a statement regarding firearms or weapons. I will be engaging the support of the various groups that exist to protect Second Amendment rights.

Advisement of Demand for Production of Code Definition

As entitled under Sixth Amendment, United States Constitution, Bill of Rights as enforced in the States by the 14th Amendment and endless, continuing litigation that requires using the Federal definitions which presumably have been written into Oregon law, otherwise Federal law supersedes the State law when questioned by Defendant.

Attorney Pro Se hereby demands an official list of any and all abbreviations or codes that are used on any of the Police reports dated July 18 and after. Attorney Pro Se also is in need of definitions that are used by City of Eugene different than ORS as supported by Federal law or Constitutional provisions as specified or as later considered applicable. For example, "trespass" appears possibly is defined as "being anywhere the police or a corporation want me to be or don't want me to be" in City of Eugene. Thank you very much.

Signed, Andrew Clark, Attorney Pro Se as identified



October 10, 2011

City of Eugene - Prosecutor's Office  
Via Fax 541.682.8430

Subject: Case 1112399 Docket 1113485

Second Paragraph First Document CORRECTION

CORRECTION TO BELOW. MY WORD PROCESSING SYSTEM USED THE WORD "LEERING" INSTEAD OF "PEERING". PLEASE NOTE THAT SUBJECT clark APOLOGIZES AND MUST SAY AGAIN:

POLICE WERE NOT AT THAT TIME LEERINGTHOUGH THE WINDOW IN MY YARD BEHIND SOME BUSHES (non-animal carbon based biological material that is alive as defined- just so police understand correctly).

They were PEERING. This is not to say they were not LEERING before or after my visual observation of activity in the side yard of my HOME.

AGAIN, APOLOGIES FROM OFFICE OF ATTORNEY PRO SE.

It is my recollection and the record appears to confirm there were in fact two police officers who were on the SIDE of my home leering through the window. They were NOT immediately to the left of the door as they claim and there is a very large window that would have allowed them to determine occupancy. In that they were on the side of my home, that is added material the the Felony Trespass charges against them but that is later. For now, I need to know for Discovery on above case why the word 'we' was not used and if so, it is my opinion and assertion in Court that that evidence is also tainted as it is contradictory how many officers were involved. This is not only a misuse-of-funds issue it is very confusing so it must also be placed in advance in Affidavit after the Depositions in Advance of Trials etc. I have repeated been requested and denied.

Signed,

Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
as identified



YARD VIDEO SURVEILLANCE FILMS SOON



October 10, 2011

City of Eugene - Prosecutor's Office  
Via Fax 541.682.8430

Subject: Case 1112399 Docket 1113485

Attorney Pro Se Demand for Production of Police Affidavit regarding the word 'SUBJECT ' (circled on attached July 19 report)

As you can see from the report I am referred to police as a "subject". It is my assertion to be litigated that this indicates the view of the police that the human body and mind is their property and we are all "subject" to their whims and desired, whatever they may be depending on perceived needs and wants at the time.

Please note that "subject" is a term that was commonly used centuries ago in European Monarchy history. It is my legal opinion that I am Subject to the Court, not the police officer and especially not in context of an illegal well being call.

Please explain to me why the correct term was not used. It might be one of these:

1. Citizen of Eugene
2. Homeowner of Record 3270 Stoney Ridge Road Eugene Oregon
3. Member of the Public
4. HUMAN BEING

Thank you for your clarification in this regard in advance of trial otherwise I must assert in Court facts that can implied from continued non-response.

Signed,



Andrew Clark, Attorney Pro Se as identified

October 10, 2011

City of Eugene - Prosecutor's Office  
Via Fax 541.682.8430

Subject: Case 1112399 Docket 1113485

Attorney Pro Se Demand for Production of Police Affidavit regarding one sentence

Please advise County my first actual criminal filing will relate to me being forced to watch a woman prisoner clean up my urine against my repeated protests as related many times to many government agencies. The action will NOT be for me. It will be an actual criminal filing with her as the victim. It will give you a view of what is then right around the corner for you. I must protect the Innocent and Downtrodden first. The Rights of that Woman were violated and I was forced to participate in a sexual escapade that appears to have been arranged to satisfy Sexual desires of Jailers.

We both know: victims and citizens come first unless a corporation requests otherwise.

I am moving on to paragraph 2 of 7.19 document but wanted to give you 2 hours to obtain this Discovery Demand.

The attached July 19 transcript contains the following statements, which are not a quote. This is Demand to Produce Affidavit of Officer:

- a. swearing under oath that is exactly what I said. I believe it could be on audio but am not sure. Please advise.
- b. if that is not what I said, I will need a very detailed explanation of:
  - 1. exactly what I did say, each and every word.
  - 2. why he considered it appropriate to omit further explanation and instead chose to go with concepts such as "rape his bosses" or "sexually assault area managers".

That information is necessary for the Sexual Misconduct portions of the Charges.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Signed,

Andrew Clark, Attorney Pro Se as identified



October 9, 2011

City of Eugene Prosecutor's Office  
via FAX 541.682.8430

1113485 Docket 1112399 Case

Charges of Ethnic Profiling, Anti-Semitic Activities, "Ethnic Cleaning" Activities  
Violation of Human Rights

Additional Discovery Questions

Advance Notice to Postpone until Discovery is Complete and FBI Agent referenced is Deposed

Thank you for your help in Discovery. I am sending material as I see it so as to provide your Office maximum time to investigate my extremely clear evidence. I determined from our telephone call last week you have not considered any of my evidence whatsoever, further evidence of your personal complicity if found via forensic examinations of every word you ever spoke and every keystroke you placed on a computer in this regard to be true as indicated.

In addition to past material I provided, here are more questions:

1. The attached Police Report references a comment attributed to me regarding Genocide. It is on the attached and circled (label A). This is true. I did say it. It is because I am Jewish as I told the officers and have repeatedly communicated in writing to your Offices and many others over the months. My mother's maiden name is on various appropriate records. Internally to Wells Fargo (EEOC filed) it was repeatedly disclosed and emphasized as reported to FBI July 27, 2011. City of Eugene had the responsibility to consider that material before further action. I clearly stated the Police action was a metaphoric component of Genocide. I compared it to Crystal Night. I am not seeing that part of my conversation in the records. Because police introduced it to Record in a defaming sense in a Police Report being used against me, I now consider the actions of the Police, City of Eugene, and Lane County to represent an anti-Semitic activity.

The continued action of City of Eugene without considering or probably even reading my vast written materials provided (and referenced vaguely in reports by the police) and the timing/nature of the events appear to clearly support and substantiate the Charges/Allegations in a fashion that is clearly the basis for immediate Criminal Charges against all involved, which appears to be most anyone who received but did not act upon materials presented by me requesting investigation and action at that time. In support of the Genocidal Action (etc.) I believe my honest and soulful pleas for Help were laughed at as the next Genocidal Actions were being conspired.

Please note: the evidence is clear. Every single aspect of the treatment of a Jewish Businessman in Germany in 1930's was literally applied to me, all the way to the point of the proverbial "showers" and "burning of evidence" which is a tradition in certain religious affiliations that have been well documented withing company in question.

Due to this emerging matter, all involved are now implicated in the 'Hate Crime' aspect of this. All the charges and all others that will derive from it will now be prosecuted per se and as part of a genocidal action by those involved, possibly due to religious affiliations. I therefore need a complete list of all police agents and any religious affiliations they have so this matter can be investigated and related (if applicable) to other events.

This aspect creates a wide variety of additional exposure. Now that you may even possibly be implicated in genocidal actions before the day is out I will have already fully notified all Jewish Support groups of this activity. I am closest in a way to an extremely specific branch of the Jewish Defense League that has significant possible contact with a variety of potential organization here and abroad. It is much like "Securitas" which is why I worry so much about what Wells Fargo plans to do to my Person next but that is my personal business. Please note: the prior part of this paragraph (excepting the first sentence) is an expression of personal outrage. It is placed here in writing because as a Citizen I have the right to outrage and I have the right to address my Government, in theory in Eugene.

2. In the last paragraph of attached police report page (other pages omitted, reference your version as necessary) I must take umbrage with and Demand (as Attorney Pro Se) full clarification and investigation to support later Attorney Pro Se work, investigations, and related Processes:

"While I was explaining the situation to Sergeant Magnuson, Dispatch advised that Clark had called back 3 more times requesting contact. I then asked Sergeant Magnuson if would contact Clark in my behalf in that I was unable to effectively communicate to Clark that Wells Fargo had broken no laws and that they had the right to trespass Clark from their properties"

a. The comment regarding calling three times is incorrect to my recollection. I recall over a dozen calls to Dispatch begging and pleading for both Protection and Help between July 18 and the Genocidal SWAT Action, massive defaming, and illegal investigations. I asked in advance if all the calls were recorded and was told they were. I need all calls I made to dispatch as further evidence of Conspiracy to Commit Crime, which is obviously the case here.

b. That comment is anti-Semitic in context of the Jew. It could (but not necessarily depending on individual) be connoted as a form of saying "stop whining" as Wells Fargo Manager Alan Martin wrote April 15, 2011 see attached. Given that intense investigation of me were performed, I trust all this was known and it appears it was since at least July 18 when I explained this. Regardless, it was one of the topics of my June 2011 DOL/OSHA complaint that I stated to my recollection but wrote you later that is in process. Given the nature of the matter now includes First Amendment rights to religious freedoms and the variety of Federal/State laws that were spawned by Nuremberg and the "Civil Rights" Movement there is an extremely large venue of Agencies at all levels that investigate. I will provide them all the paperwork sent to your office thus far along with all the material provided to me by Wells Fargo Attorney Ogletree Deakins et al on Federal Matters via fax once my efax service is selected.

c. I do not see the Magnuson Report of Contact that is mentioned. I could have misplaced it so I do not assert I did not obtain it. However, as Attorney Pro Se I must ask you present it (again if already performed).

d. Once again there is clear written evidence of Police Misconduct including but not limited to:

1. Bearing false witnesses
2. Illegal interpretation of the law outside the scope of knowledge and/or authority. Courts interpret law in a specific situation, not the Police. They have no right to make the claim of matters they are ignorant of. They do not understand reporting OBLIGATIONS UNDER LAW of workers in the Banking Industry especially with the recent Dodd-Frank legislation and leanings, all largely unlitigated. I had hoped to get all this to you next week but my time will be consumed fully reporting to all local/county/state/federal/ international group whether public or private the complicity of Elected Officials of City of Eugene. As noted, police officers will be left out of that extraordinary public and hopefully viral "Attorney Pro Se Evidence Review Repository For Genocidal Actions Against Jewish Citizens of Eugene Oregon".
3. Psychological Manipulation using the Authority Vested in them but being misused. It is clear Eugene Police are the "policy enforcement branch of Wells Fargo" with full power of arrest, incarceration, or possibly even murder based on other corporate matters elsewhere not necessarily Wells Fargo.

As noted repeatedly to a large number of parties over the months, a Jew is no longer easily 'burned'. We know how to respond. "Never Again". "Respond at First Sign". These are Mandates. As a Representative of the Law (I will pretend that is the case for now until proven otherwise in Criminal/Civil Court venues) you must realize these POSSIBLE Charges require instant and massive Response by City of Eugene. I provided you reasonable evidence of that. Martin Ogno (Wells Fargo) is most likely guilty of Federal Evidence Tampering, Corruption of Federal Process, Manufacturing Evidence to Corrupt Federal Processes. Federal rules are all different, I am carefully researching all that and will likely reverse order on that and go for the civil litigation first. That will be determined.

I am in process now of carefully reviewing each and every word. That effort is in planning phase and strategic development. Because the words each have a meaning and the collection of them has a connotation that will vary depending on the 'ethos' and socio-economic background, family upbringing, religious affiliations, etc. I believe as I have stated and filed Motions to Court we need to strip all the material to the essential facts by weeding out any and all unsupported or unsigned statements of others in advance of my trial but not yours or others. We need to get a

panel of people who represent a broad spectrum of the citizen base to be sure all is connoted as evidence supports. Once it is 'stripped' to the linguistic essentials and all extraneous material that contradicts law (exclusionary provisions such as 'fruit of poisonous tree' litigation nationwide and within but not limited to city and state and county entities as defined under Oregon Revised Statutes defining municipal and county subdivisions.


Additionally, it will be essential that all possible jurors are carefully screened and background checked for any signs of affiliations to Christian causes that have extreme viewpoints. My review of internet posting activities by the public on news sites such as CNN tend to be overwhelmingly anti-Semitic and anti-Muslim. As part of the evidence I will be sending to your to support this statement I will cull a very large sample of this material as time allows and forward to your office as discovered. Because all the postings are anonymous, it appears to clearly represent the gestalt of the underlying motivations for decisions the Jurors might make.

Jesuits, for example appear to in some cases be near exact replicas of the infamous Borgia family. Given circumstances that historical theme will be just one of the many websites I envision to attract certain segments of the population. You really must read up on the Borgia family to see how it directly could relate. There is not a human on earth who has not heard of Lucretia Borgia. Just as that woman had to clean up my urine as I was forced to watch her do it despite my repeated protests and requests to be allowed to clean up my own urine while in illegal and torturous imprisonment it Lane County Jail (also known as Lubyanka Building - Eugene Oregon Branch) Lucretia had the very large and horrific sins of the Borgia family ascribed to her alone. Historical analysis by experts has caused this to be recently publicized in library books, etc. This paragraph represents my opinions and is provided as intellectual fodder for consideration how history affect the modern day. It helps us understand WHY we have the laws and enforcement (on paper anyway) to counter the Borgia Family, which appears to still control banks and or other entities whether public, private or otherwise.

Thank you very much.

Signed,

Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
as previously identified



STANED  
RARE  
SMALL  
FAX -  
ONLY THIS  
PC RETURN  
N

October 9, 2011

City of Eugene Prosecutor's Office  
via FAX 541.682.8430

1113485 Docket 1112399 Case

As you might by now have been Advised, the FBI records show I worked at a particular "Agency" in "Washington, D.C." until operational needs were met. It provided me unique tools. I must also advise your Office that I am investigating Police Entrapment activities involving the "transients" that frequent the downtown Eugene near the public buildings. You must understand how vast the Federal processes are once all the obscure bureaus and agencies are considered. It was a common tactic to co-opt transients to provide testimony as needed. I am determining needs in that regard as I am still analyzing relationships.

Another mechanism used but Discovery has already been requested is the usage of people detained for 'crimes' particularly those DISCOVERED during traffic stops of Police Officer "personal" Interest are the most prone victims. For example, suppose Officer John Smith observes a desirable-to-him Gentleman of Consenting Legal Age who just happens to have potentially violated an ordinance, which is very easy to do as my traffic violation, payment, and insurance payment amounts indicate (all in absence of any accidents of course). If drugs are detected or there are prior warrants or any other possible coercive factors they are then used to obtain as desired/necessary by the Police Officer. Cases of this are all over including from what I understand a very massive one...right here in Lane County imagine that.

Back to point: I carefully considered each and every possible action including all varieties of 'network' and countered each one and established what are called "contingency defenses". To be clear, network is any matter involving the body such as police contact, jailing, etc, etc. Everything that suddenly appears in my life is analyzed and then appropriate and defended.

Point being: Any and all possible source of false witnesses (such as Brad Potter most likely) have been defended against. Nothing was left out. I had to get out of DC. It was a tough job and I can't/don't/won't say more.

Thank you for your Understanding.

Signed,



Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
as identified

3 PAGE  
TOTAL

October 9, 2011

Federal Bureau - Investigation  
Eugene, Oregon Field Office  
Portland, Oregon Field Office  
(email / fax delivery to be mailed)

Subject: Request for Information  
City of Eugene Docket 1113485 Case 1112399

Andrew Glen Clark 3270 Stoney Ridge Road Eugene OR 97405


The attached Police Report, City of Eugene references a conversation between Martin Ogno, a security guard at Wells Fargo in Portland Oregon and an un-named Federal Bureau - Investigation member. I underlined the comment on page 2 of 2 as hand-numbered. I included the first page for context but it can be 'skipped over'.

It is my belief that the conversation never occurred and Federal Bureau staff out of policy would not be able to discuss matters. In addition, the financial material was 'delivered by not related' so FBI has no basis to discount it. That is another reason I do not believe the conversation took place and I ask for confirmation via Letter in U.S Mail.

If there was any contact between Wells Fargo and FBI on the matter, please provide complete records of that telephone contact including name/location of FBI agent. I also request a paper call record as well as the audio recording. My understand-not-confirmed is calls to Federal Bureau are recorded but I am not sure.

This information is needed quickly as possible. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Signed,



Andrew Clark (as fully identified in July 27 2011 sworn information filing)  
Attorney Pro Se  
3270 Stoney Ridge Road  
Eugene, OR 97405  
541.510.3915

October 9, 2011

City of Eugene - Prosecutor's Office  
Via Fax 541.682.8430

Subject: Case 1112399 Docket 1113485

Notice of Demand for Audio Written Transcripts

This Attorney Pro Se Demand is necessary and will also be Motioned in Court as a Defense Requirement. It is hereby Demanded by Attorney Pro Se that your Office produce it within one week of letter. It must be a certified transcript by a professional transcriptionist.

The reason for this request is because I must analyze every paragraph of the material and then perform relations back to the written material.

I realize the material I presented was very minimal so far. I Demanded almost no Discovery at all. My recollection is there were fewer than 30 pages of necessary discovery details so once I obtain that material and transcripts I can get past these beginning stages of Investigation and Discovery and can delve into the 'meat' which is the hiring of a bank of internet paralegals to do the various referencing and filings. Boilerplate all the way from here to your possible fate, as potential below.

Below this is material just like the Eugene Oregon divorce lawyer my ex hired back in 2005 sent all the time. He is a sad work of art but it is so funny/sad I just laugh at it glad "it ain't me". Trusting you find it humorous and disgusting as I did especially considering I paid for it from my wages but the same applies to your salary until **you are either incarcerated or executed by lethal injection**. Thank you in advance for your immediate cooperation, to be enforced via legal actions and processes. I remind you that the genocidal participation, Federal trespassing, kidnapping, and treason charges against you and others could result in the **Death Penalty against you**. I am available anytime to discuss (Attorney to Attorney Pro Se of course) these finer details just as professional discussion amongst us legal workers. Thank you for your help.

Signed,



Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
as previously identified

FORTHCOMING  
"DUE TO  
CIA"  
NOTE  
IN  
NARRATIVE  
& RELATION

FILED  
FARGO  
SERVING

October 8, 2011

City of Eugene Prosecutor's Office  
via FAX 541.682.8430

1113485 Docket 1112399 Case

Thank you for your patience.

I solved my problem with the fax machine by replacing the cartridge, completely clearing out all settings. The owners manual and the online references gave me the answer. Funny thing how we tend to use technology to the extent/purpose for which we bought it, which explains all this and such matters as to why so many appliance digital clocks flash 12:00:00.

In addition, you will be relieved to notice I am able to greatly cut down on volume by font/ margin adjustments. The two-sided paper that does not autoseed used for police records was the model. I am not easily able to perform two sided pages (although I can if required by Court order) I am able to otherwise economize. I believe that sets the example that Blankets for illegally detained citizens along with state-of-art SWAT forces and K9 activity on overtime can both be better afforded via ink/paper saving.

I will soon be compiling and submitting to Budgetary authorities at all levels the various financial irregularities regarding budgeting in light of the jail situation and the possible fact that the criminal enterprises charged occurred on overtime as previously noted.

Back to matters of business. Attached page 4/5 of Schulke incident report requires Discovery based upon my Discovery and Investigative Methodologies and Evidentiary Standards that clearly exceed the controls of your political Office.

Just as with the aforementioned appliances set to 12:00:00, perhaps budget constraints that are preventing the ability to provide a blanket as carefully detailed in past correspondence it is possible that City/County systems have the same TimeSet problems. I am noting that exactly at 12:00 on 072911 per bottom stamp of attached page 4/5 there was a Trespass Letter on file as of 7-28-11. As you are already aware from extensive analysis and challenge of the validity and truthfulness there was no legal trespass letter, just the opposite as provided July 20 letter from Martin Ogno Wells Fargo provided several different times to your Office and with Court Motions with no response to date. I will re-provide that material later.

The Discovery issues arising thus far from cursory analysis of the evidence per se but thus far in absence of the vast majority of Discovery requests and taken in total context once all details are known, the following immediate questions and possible additional civil/criminal filings other than or as combined with any and all actions arising from or related to evidence derived from continued illegal prosecutorial activities:

- whose handwriting is it? please provide written signed statement to effect along with a standard handwriting sample to allow me associate it to other material and perform handwriting analysis for Court evidence.
- who authorized it? the name is not able to be read by me. On other reports, the authorizing agent s
- which human being (name/contact data) is associated with both the typed and handwritten statements on that page. the systems investigations previously noted with bear out all permissions.
- CRITICAL ISSUE: I asked this several times in different contexts. It is being asked again to ensure I have properly associated this evidence material with this aspect of evidence discrepancy which is further evidence individually and collectively with other omissions and posturing/concealment actions as previously reported and evidenced in other communications over the past months.

It is as I know you must agree is substantiating evidence of prior Motions to Dismiss and/or Postpone along with all other material provided to your office and the various local/state/DOJ/SEC/DOL as applicable under circumstances.

Here is the matter: all reports note that Trespass occurred and Notice was given to not Trespass 100 E. Broadway. While that fact is apparent in other documentation, this is the absolute most clear proof of that fundamental issue as the 911 audio record clearly has the Securitas Guard being coached by the City Dispatch operator to state and confirm on audio tape that trespass was at "the Bank".

Let record reflect as will need to be proven by photographs of the inside and outside of both "the Bank" at 99 East Broadway and the tiny, usually empty mortgage office that is obviously not "the Bank. Also I bring up again in this context his reliability given that and his flagrant and large initial error in identifying the company at which he was posted.

I to this add again the existence of extensive Bank security systems including video that per Prosecutor Barkovic oral assertion that has not yet been placed into writing as requested for evidence needed for defense entitled to me that no video records of Trespass exist. I continue to await requested written confirmation of this orally transmitted material so it is valid evidence.

I do not currently have the time to create transcripts of the various audio records such as the July 28/29 activity and realize my transcript would not be considered evidence without professional validation. Therefore you must reference the audio 911 record on this matter. I have already documented these matters to your Office and Court in other contexts but as previously noted within this document these aspect but Attorney Pro Se evidence submissions appear to require certain but rather frequent repeating of the same information in different contexts.

Once I discovered that tradition within the Legal and Police industries as clearly documented with the two entirely different and manufactured sexual references of Police (reference police report notations regarding "rape his bosses" and the later-and-differently contrived "sexually assault area managers" is an excellent example for me. Before this my only legal reference was LA Law so I thought it would have more humor. That concept remains a hope despite all.

In my case as I did not manufacture evidence and I am only reporting the entirely defensible truth as reasonable perceived by a Citizen of the United States of America, my activity is legal and necessary whereas the opposite applies to activity of police/prosector/companies, et al.

Thank you again for your help.

Signed,

Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
as previously identified

THIS POINT  
SEEMS  
OPTIMAL TO  
ME -  
YOUR INPUT?  
THANKS  
A

October 8, 2011

City of Prosecutor's Office  
via FAX 541.682.8430



1113485 Docket 1112399 Case

Subject: July 18 incident reported. Names added to Charges as Previously Presented

.....  
CORRECTION NOTICE: this part is to amend previous report(s). I discovered unintentional minor factual error. What I wrote is below and transmitted. As corrected, it appears I mis-spoke on minor details that as Prosector's Office understands are all relevant at a later moment and timeframe.

Notice below I identified the banking discussion to Eshe when in fact it may have been Pieske, who must be added to Charges as previously transmitted. In addition, I hereby withdraw my erroneous statements in this or recent-past material suggesting City of Eugene had contacted FBI and any Discovery requests related to that specified in previous correspondence. As Attorney Pro Se, I do as I can to prevent, detect, and immediately correct errors. That you for your Professional Understanding. The Criminal Charge of FBI Tampering will remain some form as the statements were used by Police without validation despite ample time and repeated request for same via many different channels starting at time of events. However, the record clearly states **MARTIN OGNO of Wells Fargo** personally called FBI to defame and attempt to nullify sworn financial filings with evidence July 27 and preliminarily filed May 25 FBI - Eugene, Portland, and/or Washington DC offices and DOL May 25 (confirmed received, initially reviewed, and apparently lost).

I will need a complete record of that activity in order to ensure we have all the fact as required by your Obligations to the Citizens and my personal obligations and rights.

Before beginning Discovery requests related to July 18, I must revisit July 28-30 again. There will be likely be additional Discovery needs such as have been presented but not responded to over a one month period, which suggest complicity as noted previously but not responded. many more when I am able to move into the more comprehensive phases of investigations and discovery. I will be filing a variety of other Motions in the case including change of venue due to corruption of police and justice system. Primarily, I will be filing a Motion to disallow any evidence quoted from named individuals who did not sign and attest to the statements they have attributed to them.

By not having signatures of the primary accusers on the police reports, it infinitely allows them to posture or be coerced by Wells Fargo/Securitas. You see the legal pressure they apply. The other side is the "reward system". I will be requesting discovery of exactly what 'perks' were or are being awarded to staff in that office. That type of reward is a typical component of an organized defaming effort (he bad-we good) as demonstrated throughout our long human history.

I am investigating possibly tainted or purchased testimony in two federal cases as noted. Given other inconsistencies, this lack of sworn or even signed statements in the police records represents illegal evidence that must be excluded completely from consideration at this point in a court as it now represent further tainted, tampered, and/or manufactured evidence.

I began my cursory review of July 18 illegal wellbeing call. I have yet to compare the audio transcript to written police report almost-midnight July 18 so it is important to compare the context of all statements as written to what is recorded.

October 8, 2011

City of Prosecutor's Office  
via FAX 541.682.8430

1113485 Docket 1112399 Case

URGENT NOTICE..... time sensitive

Apology for further delay in submission of more Discovery Questions or Evidence. I am only able to provide part due to technical issue mostly but also the need to continue analysis and response.

My FAX machine appears to 'have gone nuts'. The electronics may be 'fried' possibly from use beyond intended capacity. I planned to move all this over to an 'e-fax' service to greatly accelerate document delivery but I still in process of evaluating services.

I will therefore not be able to provide you more material until Monday when I can get this looked at or purchase another. I think I have someone lined up who is available tomorrow.

Please bear with me while I solve this minor technical problem and hopefully get set up on efax. My objection to all the efax services I have reviewed shows they are rather costly for completely unlimited service. As the fax machines are inexpensive and I have another telephone line available I am more inclined to go that route....just set up more machines both as backup and to allow more efficient delivery of materials to all parties in various locations and offices.

Thank you again.

Signed,



Andrew G. Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
as previously identified

October 8, 2011

City of Prosecutor's Office  
via FAX 541.682.8430

1113485 Docket 1112399 Case

Subject: July 18 incident reported. Names added to Charges as Previously Presented

Before beginning Discovery requests related to July 18, I must revisit July 28-30 again. There will be likely be additional Discovery needs such as have been presented but not responded to over a one month period, which suggest complicity as noted previously but not responded, many more when I am able to move into the more comprehensive phases of investigations and discovery. I will be filing a variety of other Motions in the case including change of venue due to corruption of police and justice system. Primarily, I will be filing a Motion to disallow any evidence quoted from named individuals who did not sign and attest to the statements they have attributed to them.

By not having signatures of the primary accusers on the police reports, it infinitely allows them to posture or be coerced by Wells Fargo/Securitas. You see the legal pressure they apply. The other side is the "reward system". I will be requesting discovery of exactly what 'perks' were or are being awarded to staff in that office. That type of reward is a typical component of an organized defaming effort (he bad-we good) as demonstrated throughout our long human history.

I am investigating possibly tainted or purchased testimony in two federal cases as noted. Given other inconsistencies, this lack of sworn or even signed statements in the police records represents illegal evidence that must be excluded completely from consideration at this point in a court as it now represent further tainted, tampered, and/or manufactured evidence.

I began my cursory review of July 18 illegal wellbeing call. I have yet to compare the audio transcript to written police report almost-midnight July 18 so it is important to compare the context of all statements as written to what is recorded.

Based on analysis so far, the much-more-extensive list of Discovery Questions will come from deriving and researching relationships between people and by comparing audio record (or lack thereof) to written statements.

I contend that it was an illegal wellbeing call and there was no legal right to it. I have repeatedly and in writing asked your office for Legal Guidance as these matters require an organized investigation in total, something requested in writing of Police Auditor and denied. To date they have not denied charges of evidence tampering/manufacturing so

I must therefore assume them to be true unless otherwise proven as I have evidenced it as well as a Private Citizen is expected to do under the law.

Names to be added to Criminal/Civil charges: Esch #623 for Police Misconduct alone and Magnuson #122 on that charge and all others listed in my previous reports. Expect that I will also name the call dispatch agent and others but my research continues.

Here is the issue in question, I will quote verbatim from Esch 7.19 report:

"I was not able to convince Clark that Wells Fargo violated no laws in trespassing him from their property. After explanation, I was unable to convince Clark that it was commonplace for police to do welfare checks on people. I was also unable to convince Clark that Wells Fargo violated no laws in regards to filing a false police report. Clark then asked to speak to my supervisor..."

Here are the items of Police Misconduct against Esch, who appears (but I am not certain and am not stating it as fact) to be a younger and more recent police officer. He clearly did not possess the knowledge required to make such statements. He very clearly is convinced of his need and perceived legal right to convince me of something superior to my own knowledge. In addition to having no personal knowledge of underlying facts, I do not believe he has the level of legal expertise to make such a determination of facts and then attempt repeatedly to convince me of same. That represents psychological manipulation using the implied and actual power of the Police who are well-armed and highly aggressive as shown July 28-30, etc.

It also represents manufacturing of evidence when placed in the overall context of the entire document in absence of explanation or corresponding facts. It is therefore libelous and defaming.

I have long ago requested in writing but not received complete detail on well-being calls. I presented evidence that should have long ago been prosecuted that it was a false police report and an illegal action. The city and county stood by doing nothing even though I provided significant background material in writing, thus implicating all possibly including the Mayor's office and any staff members either permanent or part-time who were present when material was delivered.

Esch office did as the other police officer told him to do. I cannot really blame him in any way. However, all others must be at this time added to the Names Charged of Criminal Offenses previously transmitted.

However, I have no choice whatsoever and neither will Wells Fargo when it comes to him discussing my bank account business in a police report, which was not relevant to matters at hand. That is a very-clear-cut violation of the various banking privacy laws such as Gramm-Bliley-Leach and many others. That will be presented as a Federal charge to the appropriate agencies but must be redressed on a civil basis with those


involved. The quote is on the second page and is defaming in total, is not what I said but I must reference the audio. I made it clear during the in-home visit July 18 that my savings were elsewhere. I can prove that with a legal Verification of Deposit as of that date.

As stated in the reports, it uses the implied authority of the police and the bank security guard in context with other such subtle defaming to posturing and/or omitting of material that it renders it to be libelous and defaming. I have already asked you perhaps a dozen or so other unanswered questions in previous non-responded Discovery requests.

A note on that topic: while I appreciate your placing a part-time resource on this, I object as this lack of resource, especially as compared to the police/prosector resource is denying me justice as specified in law. I hereby place demand that I be assigned a full-time attorney and two support personnel with system knowledge. My plan is to work within your Offices to coordinate the investigation so we can effectively prosecute.

I am developing significant legal backing for my claims including past cases (precedent). I will be providing you with all details of the various cases, all legal material I can possibly locate including testimony, appeals, motions, etc. As you can appreciate, acting as Attorney Pro Se allows me to focus on one case...mine. You almost have to go back to the Days of Sirpico to see something this abusive in American Police and Prosecutorial Action that appears to be systematically applied as the class actions will validate once presented with requested Discovery. It is as if the Police ran amok and decided they own the Human Content of the City of Eugene and Lane County.

Signed,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Andrew Clark', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
as previously identified.

October 8, 2011

City of Prosecutor's Office  
via FAX 541.682.8430

1113485 Docket 1112399 Case

Please note: as you know, I am acting as my own Attorney. I am a peaceful and non-violent person. Anyone on Earth is warmly welcome to my Home as guests. I repeatedly and in writing and on voicemails have invited many of those named over for my perfect homemade pizza and that offer stands but I am not big on guns in my home as I have repeatedly communicated in writing to your Office.

This is just business. I need everyone to understand that I am no threat, am quite sane, and am doing what I must under circumstances.

**Criminal Allegations against City of Eugene Police Schulke #164, Pieske #112, Klinko #338, Martin Ogno (Wells Fargo Portland as identified in record), Manager Alan Martin Wells Fargo as identified in record. This is official legal notice that evidence has been submitted sufficient to require a Trial in Court of the named individuals and any others determined via Discovery be possibly implicated in Charges**

I have presented to your office large amounts of evidentiary material that I believe proves beyond any reasonable doubt the following Criminal Actions were perpetrated by the above named individuals and endorsed by Supervisors who are therefore implicated:

1. Manufacturing of evidence.
2. Illegal Arrest
3. Excessive Force
4. Illegal Jailing, Violation of Human Rights, Conspiracy to violate rights
5. Conspiracy to effect defaming police actions against me July 2011.
6. Racketeering and Corruption of Process with Wells Fargo and Securitas.
7. Conspiracy to conceal by manufacturing additional evidence 9.16.11
8. One-sided investigations as part of conspiracy to manufacture evidence and conceal.

C. C. CATHERINE  
JOSEPH

October 7, 2011

City of Prosecutor's Office  
via FAX 541.682.8430

1113485 Docket  
1112399 Case

Attorney Pro Se Demand Letter

It is my understanding this is what attorneys do so I figured I better do likewise.

I provided your Office massive amounts of evidence that suggests the charges against me in above case are completely without merit and that the evidence was manufactured.

In addition, the most basic fact does not match. The police reports all claim the "trespass event" occurred at 100 East Broadway, the mortgage office. The person (Agent Christensen who presumably has been summoned to testify) who made the 911 call is very clearly heard stating and confirming alleged events happened at "the Bank" which is at 99 East Broadway. Also in that call he was unaware of where he was working.

Accordingly, it is Demand of Attorney Pro Se that above charges against Client (me) be formally dropped and all records of it removed, including the arrest records. I will need your response by April 12, 2011. If I do not receive it my intention is to pursue criminal charges against you and others involved after that date. That will be followed immediately by filing of Civil Actions individually and as part of the larger litigation to be filed against City of Eugene and Lane County.

Thank you for your help.

Signed,



Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
As Previously Identified

(to be sent certified mail- fax advance copy)

PART OF CRIMINAL FILING / CIVIL ACTION  
OGNO ET AL

CC

October 7, 2011

MR. JOSEPH

City of Prosecutor's Office  
via FAX 541.682.8430

5 PAGES

1113485 Docket  
1112399 Case

PROSECUTOR HAS ABOUT  
300 PAGES OF MATERIAL

Additional Evidence Inconsistency

The attached Police Report is confusing. I need explanation immediately.

The report is dated July 28 but it is dated on the bottom and with time/date stamp 9-16-2011. It was not provided along with the other police reports and audio records. It was received in the mail separately a couple weeks after I picked up the other material.

Given that, I consider it was manufactured on September 16. If you have evidence to the contrary I need it. I will only accept primary evidence such as certain computer logs. I will need to know the system you use and will need to be in contact with your technical manager so the logs that show otherwise are available. That will need to be compared with the telephone and email traffic records that I requested of all involved City or County workers involved. There is a certain forensic technique used and it will depend on the system architecture and the programs being used. If all are dated July 28 then this will not be a problem.

Still that will be a problem is:

a. Martin Ogno never met me and he has no basis to suggest I have a mental health problem. That represents defamation and libel by City of Eugene.

b. Ogno worked in Portland. I need to see evidence that I had a negative impact on the working environment. I charge and believe I can prove that the posting of special security forces specifically placed to defame was very frightening to the workers there. It suggested to them there could be a threat. That created a very negative working environment per those who had to endure it. They will be called to testify at your's and other's trials.

c. July 18 Ogno spoke on phone alleging events 120 miles south of his Portland location. He had no standing to communicate to Police a well-being call that resulted in police action at my home July 18 (c. 5 pm timed to maximally defame me in community, on police overtime).

d. I presented Ogno's letter to you clearly saying July 20 I had the right to be there to close accounts. I did not exercise that right. However, that is permission to be there.

e. The report references "as Clark's behavior escalated"...that is highly defaming manufactured evidence. It allows for later posturing and pretexting. I claim the exact opposite is the truth. I claim the police record is clear they escalated the matter as part of the complicity of City of Eugene/Lane County in Racketeering, Obstruction of Federal Processes, Manufacturing of Evidence, Illegal Arrest, Illegal Jailing, etc. The list is endless as will soon be seen. All this because I filed charges with FBI against them July 27 and they knew I was going to.

Thank you for your help.



Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
as identified previously

October 7, 2011

MARTAV COPY  
SEC, WELLS  
FARGO

WELLS FARGO  
→ COPY: STROTHER FIN  
MEDENA OR  
MARTAV - SECURITY

To: Various Lane County Agencies

Subject: City of Eugene/Lane County Jail and Related Matters

This is advisement that significant records will be needed for Sheriff's office and other agencies as described in the attached document.

**This is also advisement that I am preparing Civil and Criminal Charges against involved individuals, City of Eugene, and Lane County for the various crimes and illegal treatment as described in detail (attached 8 pages).**

In addition to the attached, there will be more material linking Sheriff's Department to Federal Racketeering charges being detailed to FBI May 25 and July 27. The July 27 comprehensive filing of charges appeared to cause Wells Fargo to need to maximally defame me as they did. The related charges are conspiracy to violate various Federal financial laws. The cut-and-dry stuff will be the first to go to Court on a civil basis. I will explore vigorously criminal prosecution responsibilities and obligations against any involved Lane County Employees.

I am particularly concerned about any link between Portland Oregon area Wells Fargo workers, or agents and this action. For example, their lawyer Leah Lively at Ogletree Deakins...her husband appears to be or have long been with Multnomah Sheriff. That is a suspicious link, evidence that will require the very most detailed kind of granular forensic examination of all systems, policies, and relationships between policy as written and applied.

With this notice, please be advised to retain and protect all records from alteration. There will be a complete system examination on a highly forensic basis so any traces of tampering or manufacture of evidence (such as proven in detail is the practice for City of Eugene police) so be sure the system engineers understand the legal need and traceability of further concealing actions as repeatedly demonstrated and evidenced were applied in this case by City of Eugene.

I will be submitting a very large amount of background material or it can be obtained from City of Eugene Prosecutor's office. Once I have the preliminary system forensic approach and understand the systems and architecture of same as it relates to the policy surrounding each event in the detainment and related process(es) there will be a more comprehensive need for more documentation as determined to relate.

Please advise me of the appropriate contact, otherwise I must assume everyone needs it in order to study it carefully and arrive at the correct solutions.

Thank you in advance for your help.

Signed,



Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
3270 Stoney Ridge Road  
Eugene, OR 97405

October 7, 2011

To: Various Lane County Agencies

Subject: City of Eugene/Lane County Jail and Related Matters

This is advisement that significant records will be needed for Sheriff's office and other agencies as described in the attached document.

**This is also advisement that I am preparing Civil and Criminal Charges against involved individuals, City of Eugene, and Lane County for the various crimes and illegal treatment as described in detail (attached 8 pages).**

In addition to the attached, there will be more material linking Sheriff's Department to Federal Racketeering charges being detailed to FBI May 25 and July 27. The July 27 comprehensive filing of charges appeared to cause Wells Fargo to need to maximally defame me as they did. The related charges are conspiracy to violate various Federal financial laws. The cut-and-dry stuff will be the first to go to Court on a civil basis. I will explore vigorously criminal prosecution responsibilities and obligations against any involved Lane County Employees.

I am particularly concerned about any link between Portland Oregon area Wells Fargo workers, or agents and this action. For example, their lawyer Leah Lively at Ogletree Deakins...her husband appears to be or have long been with Multnomah Sheriff. That is a suspicious link, evidence that will require the very most detailed kind of granular forensic examination of all systems, policies, and relationships between policy as written and applied.

With this notice, please be advised to retain and protect all records from alteration. There will be a complete system examination on a highly forensic basis so any traces of tampering or manufacture of evidence (such as proven in detail is the practice for City of Eugene police) so be sure the system engineers understand the legal need and traceability of further concealing actions as repeatedly demonstrated and evidenced were applied in this case by City of Eugene.

I will be submitting a very large amount of background material or it can be obtained from City of Eugene Prosecutor's office. Once I have the preliminary system forensic approach and understand the systems and architecture of same as it relates to the policy surrounding each event in the detainment and related process(es) there will be a more comprehensive need for more documentation as determined to relate.

Please advise me of the appropriate contact, otherwise I must assume everyone needs it in order to study it carefully and arrive at the correct solutions.

Thank you in advance for your help.

Signed, 

Andrew Clark  
Attorney Pro Se  
3270 Stoney Ridge Road  
Eugene, OR 97405

October-5-2011

DOCKET 1113485 COURT  
CASE 1112399 PROSECUTOR

Prosecutor's Office Via Fax 682.8430

**Subject: Urgent Evidence Submission**

This new piece of shocking evidence just emerged so I pass it along as I will be referencing it in Court.

I have long considered this entire ghastly matter was a case of mistaken identity. Please see attached email from a Securitas Mediator assigned to the case.

As can be seen and verified as needed, the mediation company spent over a week looking into my complaint. I provided them a reasonable amount of information.

After that week, it seems the mediation company was under the impression I worked for Securitas. I worked for Wells Fargo, not Securitas. It is safe to assume that a mediation company is more skilled and more highly paid than "Agents" Christensen and 'Erin'. It appears they were given all sorts of bad information and nobody ever checked anything out.

That makes a lot of sense in a way. If they thought I was a terminated security guard causing problems the treatment makes a little more sense. My name is exceptionally common.

Please see attached email chain. As noted, please validate it's authenticity via source if wanted.

Thank you very much.

Signed,



Andy Clark  
3270 Stoney Ridge Road  
Eugene, OR 97405

8 PAGE  
TOTAL

---

## Re: Thank you

---

**From :** Michael <resolvedisputes@mail.com>

Tue, Oct 04, 2011 07:41 PM

**Subject :** Re: Thank you

**To :** mir99@comcast.net

Andy:

Well, I try. I might not be the sharpest tool in the shed, but I know enough that you cannot hope to address anyone's concerns unless you open a dialog. But that's why I do what I do. Sorry I missed who your actual employer was - I thought I was fairly responsive in reading all your material. But with my false pre-belief I must have simply glossed right over it. No excuse, just a perception.

I think you have done everything you need to do - certainly provided me with everything that would allow the attorney's to decide if they wanted to negotiate any settlement and they chose to not go there. Filing with EEOC is important, and you did that. So now just the filings with Wells Fargo, Securitas and I would assume the local police seem to be your best course - but it appears you understand your legal obligations better than I. So all I can offer is my number and email should you have any need to reach out to someone who has some connections with this case that you know will respond in a reasonable amount of time.

Otherwise, I wish you the best and sorry to see it had to come to this.

Take care, Michael

----- Original Message -----

From: mir99@comcast.net

Sent: 10/04/11 11:19 AM

To: Michael


Subject: Thank you

Michael, thank you. I appreciate the fact you respond. You notice from the massive amounts of evidence in court nobody ever responded to even the most simple questions. You at least get back to me.

I know you are intelligent so you see the significance of the error. I provided a lot of information that should have led to the conclusion I worked for Wells Fargo and not Securitas. It indicates to me nobody actually looked at much or took the time to understand it.

I thought perhaps the reason for the SWAT action and jailing for Securitas-manufactured charges of second degree municipal trespass was a case of mistaken identity all along. My name is exceptionally

NOTE



subpoened by City of Eugene for my upcoming trial on second degree municipal trespass. You have a concept of the type of questions I will ask in Court.

I submitted the EEOC filing today. I will certify-mail to legal contact at Wells Fargo. I must fully complete Dodd-Frank and Oxley-Sarbannes Reporting responsibilities and then move on to the other aspects.

Please advise if I can provide further input or visa-versa. Thank you again, From Andy

**From:** Michael

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 8:53 AM

**To:** [mir99@comcast.net](mailto:mir99@comcast.net)

**Subject:** Re: To Clarify

Andy:

Thanks for that clarification. The information I received from Securitas about you was that "He was terminated from Wells Fargo..." Since this came from Securitas, and I have always dealt with Securitas personnel, I wrongly assumed (my first mistake) they meant you were one of their guards, working at the Wells Fargo site. Especially since they also said they were asked to send additional guards to the Wells Fargo site.

Regardless, I am still the sole source contact person assigned to your matter. Securitas was asked by Wells Fargo to try and handle your issues and I never asked for clarification as to whether or not you were a Securitas employee or not. But my role as an Independent Contractor for Securitas is to try and assist in resolving your issues - unfortunately it appears that we are still at the same impasse. As indicated in my last email, "Unfortunately, neither Wells Fargo nor Securitas has the ability to take the time and respond to your numerous questions without such a demand", and by "demand" I mean legal action. Further, the amounts asked for, even the \$50,000 from Securitas as a settlement, are not amounts the legal team is willing to consider at this time. Thus all the more reason to pursue your legal recourse.

So again, if I can be of any further assistance, please do contact me.

Michael

----- Original Message -----

From: [mir99@comcast.net](mailto:mir99@comcast.net)

Sent: 10/03/11 07:06 PM

To: Michael

Subject: To Clarify

I was a Wells Fargo employee. A Securitas guard was used to call in a 911 threat against me in order to defame Federal filings against Wells Fargo.

an ex-employee?...probably makes no diff to you but thought I out of politeness (because I thought we were working together in some fashion) I must ask the question along with the others. Hoping it is clearer again..if it is still in your scope.

Thank you very much.

From Andy

---

**From:** mir99@comcast.net  
**To:** "Michael" <resolvedisputes@mail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 3, 2011 4:40:05 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Couple more question, accidentally left it out....

All that was insightful. One question that could render it moot:

I cut and pasted this from your communication below. I was employed by Wells Fargo, not Securitas: I was hired by Securitas. **Since you were an employee of Securitas**, they had hoped that the issue was going to be entirely dealt with through them so that you would not have to bother Wells Fargo or anyone else.

I considered it possibly an error but in context, it appears you thought I worked for Securitas? !?  
If that is the case: "gasp."

---

**From:** "Michael" <resolvedisputes@mail.com>  
**To:** mir99@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Monday, October 3, 2011 1:34:47 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Couple more question, accidentally left it out....

Andy:

Thanks for all your questions. As you can well imagine, Securitas feels they are currently under no obligation to provide you with any of this information at this time since it would require a good deal of research and time on their part. There are legal ways of moving forward with this and it appears that since you have told me you are familiar with these, that you should proceed with those.

Yes, I do not directly represent Wells Fargo or the local police department that you have the issue with - I was hired by Securitas. Since you were an employee of Securitas, they had hoped that the issue was going to be entirely dealt with through them so that you would not have to bother Wells Fargo or anyone else. I have been told that Wells Fargo is also at the same point of only wanting you to submit through legal channels your claims and/or lawsuits, which is why no one there will return your calls (they consider it a possible legal matter now entirely). I am sure that as you and they proceed through appropriate legal channels, depositions or whatever else is necessary to respond to your questions will be provided appropriately. Unfortunately, neither Wells Fargo nor Securitas has the ability to take the time and respond to your numerous questions without such a demand.

After reading your questions, I personally feel that you raise some good points and that perhaps the best resort for you would be to proceed with any and all legal proceedings against all parties involved. But

I am happy to provide you with the appropriate address for the legal offices in Westwood (and my apologies, I always confuse the two locations as they have offices in both, but the legal team is in Westlake Village) where I report my findings. They too will not respond to you unless it is via an appropriate legal demand and generally presented via another attorney:

Securitas Security Services, USA, Inc.  
Employment Law Department  
4330 Park Terrace Dr.  
Westlake Village, CA 91361  
818.706.6800

I wish I could be of more assistance. It appears from the questions you presented that there are many, many issues that need to be clarified prior to you feeling there can be an adequate resolve. At this time, neither Securitas nor Wells Fargo have the business bandwidth to research and respond to all your queries. I therefore recommend that you seek legal counsel to proceed as you keep saying you might do. Please know that if you feel I can ever be of any additional assistance, do not hesitate to call or email me (and thank you for letting me know my voice mail box was full - an important glitch that I believe I have now resolved).

Michael

----- Original Message -----

From: [mir99@comcast.net](mailto:mir99@comcast.net)

Sent: 10/03/11 11:05 AM

To: Michael

Subject: Couple more question, accidentally left it out....

In addition to the just-prior questions, I need to better understand how Agents Christensen and "Erin" were able to see and witness a trespass at "the bank" which is at 99 East Broadway when they were to my knowledge posted at the tiny usually-empty mortgage office diagonally across a constantly travelled 4-lane main artery in the heart of downtown Eugene during business hours and lunchtime when even more people and traffic are present. Address being 100 E. Broadway. Do they have special monitoring equipment such as telescopic cameras that was able to capture alleged trespass even when the Bank system was unable? If so, I need to know which equipment was used and the level of training each received. I asked Prosecutor office for a video, they claim none exists.

It appears that soon after the events of July 28 agent Christensen had been replaced with an armed guard per my understanding from others. Was that a Securitas guard? If so, will need all contact data for him in order to understand what he was told the threat to be. Why was agent Christensen suddenly removed and replaced by what I understand was a much more experience agent?

Agent Christensen is the witness to alleged event. Wells Fargo is the entity that pressed charges against me. I will need complete records (telephone, email, etc) between the two to understand how Wells Fargo was prompted to file charges given the lack of evidence and inconsistency.

Thank you again, from Andy

Since I had not heard from you, I went ahead and presented your information to the Securitas Corporate Attorneys. As I feared, they agreed that at this point they do not see where Securitas comes into the picture as it appears you have only illustrated how Wells Fargo and the local police have maligned and harmed you. I really want to try and get something going for you here with Securitas Andy, but without some more specific information as to why you feel Securitas is part of the problem, they won't listen to me. So once again, can you please provide me with any specifics you have of how Securitas has wronged you? Once I have that information, I'll be happy to make another run at them to see what I can get for you. Help me out and I'll do my best to help you.

Michael

----- Original Message -----

From: [mir99@comcast.net](mailto:mir99@comcast.net)

Sent: 09/26/11 08:56 AM

To: Michael

Subject: Timing and trust issues....

Thank you again. It should not take very long to see what happened and what the overall exposure is. I must within a couple weeks for timing reasons submit EEOC complaint, which is particularly horrific, embarrassing company and specific executives/causes. It is definitely to be avoided which is why I have until the last minute possible.

You are "fresh" to this. It has been killing me since April. I am cashless and without health insurance. I was wronged beyond belief considering all since April 15. You are the 5th person I have been asked to 'resolve' with:

1. Elise Reiser (HR)
2. Timothy O'Hara (HR)
3. Leah Lively (Ogletree Deakins...she corrupted the DOL)
4. David Symes (Ogletree Deakins...I gave up on him as he lied to me in my opinion)
5. Yourself.

Please forgive me for noting: let's get this taken care of...it should be the easiest case you ever dealt with given my infinite flexibility for compensation and exhaustive Court/FBI / DOL material. What I am looking for they would spend just on the lawyers defending the various aspects....within the first year or two. That is just ME, not all the others who would "Mass for the Kill" which appears to be how things go once they hit courts....again...to be avoided.

Thank you for your help and understanding in quickly resolving this for Maximum Value to all Parties and to Society in General.

No more emails for now....I am going to see what you do with it. May want to get my Court-submitted stuff from Ogletree Deakins. They went to federal court over public records so I submitted all the racketeering stuff, ooops. Please contact me if questions.

thanks from Andy

---